

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.15886 of 2019

=====

Jaya Devi, female, aged about 56 years, Wife of Sri Vijay Kumar Thakur,
Resident of village- Pachhiyari Tabhka, P.S.- Bhibhutipur, District-
Samastipur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Director, Directorate of Integrated Child Development Scheme (ICDS), Department of Social Welfare, Bihar, Patna.
2. The District Magistrate, Samastipur.
3. The District Programme Officer, Samastipur.
4. The Child Development Project Officer, Bhibhutipur, District- Samastipur.
5. The Chairman, Ward Execution and Management Committee Village Panchayat Raj, Tabhka Ward - 02, Block- Bibhutipur, District- Samastipur.
6. The Committee for the Selection of Anganwari Sevika for Anganwari Centre No.79, through its Member Secretary, the Lady Supervisor, Bhibhutipur, District- Samastipur.
7. Reena Kumari, W/o Sri Manish Kumar Thakur, Resident of village- Pachhiyari Tabhka, P.S.- Bhibhutipur, District- Samastipur.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Suneil Kumar Thakur, Adv.
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Prasant Pratap (GP-2)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHUTOSH KUMAR

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 14-08-2019

It is the claim of the petitioner that even though she has been working as Aanganbari Sevika at the concerned Aanganbari Centre since 1985 and there being no complaint against her, fresh process for selection of Aanganbari Sevika for the aforesaid Aanganbari Centre was started and private respondent No. 7 has been appointed on such post.

2. The necessary facts for disposal of the



present writ petition are actually missing.

3. The petitioner, therefore, is directed to approach the C.D.P.O., Bhibhutipur, Samastipur for redressal of her grievances. Should a complaint be filed by the petitioner within a period of two weeks from today, the same shall be decided by her, after verifying all the relevant facts, by a reasoned order in accordance with law within a further period of 30 days of the receipt of such complaint.

4. If the petitioner remains still dissatisfied with the order of the concerned C.D.P.O., she would have the option of challenging such order before the District Programme Officer, Samastipur in the first instance and, thereafter, before the concerned Divisional Commissioner in revision.

5. With the aforesaid observation/direction, the writ petition stands disposed off.

(Ashutosh Kumar, J)

Praveen-II/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N/A
Uploading Date	20.08.2019
Transmission Date	N/A

