

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.15891 of 2019

=====

Kajali Kumari, Female, Aged about 20 years, W/o Mukesh Yadav, Resident of Village Koriya, P.O. Lohari Chandan, P.S. Chandan, Distt.-Banka.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. The Principal Secretary, Social Welfare Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director, Social Welfare (Child Development Programme) Bihar, Patna.
4. The District Magistrate, Banka.
5. Child Development Project Officer, Chandan, P.S. Chandan, Distt.-Banka.
6. Ward Member cum Chairman, Selection Committee Gram Panchayat Raj Koriya, P.S. Chandan, Distt.-Banka.
7. Rita Devi, Female, Aged about 34 years, W/o Keshav Kumar Yadav, Resident of Villager Koriya, P.O. Lohari Chandan, P.S. Chandan, Distt.-Banka.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ramesh Kumar Choudhary, Adv.
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Prashant Pratap (GP-2)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHUTOSH KUMAR

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 14-08-2019

The petitioner has some apprehension that she will not be accorded fair treatment on the ground of her being physically disabled. The apprehension of the petitioner has arisen because of the assessment of the *Aam Sabha*



about her disability to be less than 40%, which will not fetch her any additional marks.

2. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that she has a disability of more than 40%, but it has wrongly been stated in *Aam Sabha* proceedings that she is not entitled to any weightage.

3. A complaint has already been filed by the petitioner for redressal of her grievances before the District Magistrate, Banka, but no order has been passed as yet on such complaint.

4. Under the aforesaid circumstances, the petitioner is directed to make a fresh representation/complaint, if at all her claim for being marked for her physical disability is refused, before the C.D.P.O., Chandan, Banka (respondent No. 5) within a period of three weeks, who, on receipt of the same, after verifying all the relevant facts, shall pass a reasoned order in accordance with law within a further period of thirty days.

5. If the petitioner still remains dissatisfied with the order of the C.D.P.O., she can approach the District



Programme Officer of the concerned district for the needful.

6. With the aforesaid observation/direction, the writ petition stands disposed off.

(Ashutosh Kumar, J)

Praveen-II/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N/A
Uploading Date	20.08.2019
Transmission Date	N/A

