

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.15734 of 2019

=====

Shashikala Das, W/o Jai Prakash Das, Resident of Village Karjaine Bazar,PS
Karjaine,Dist.Supaul.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through its, the Principal Secretary, Social Welfare
Department,Govt. of Bihar,patna.
2. The Director, Integrated Child Development Service,Indira Bhawan,Beli
Road,Patna
3. The Collector, Supaul.
4. The District Programme Officer, Supaul.
5. The Sub Divisional Officer, Birpur,Supaul.
6. The Child Development Programme Officer, Raghapur,Supaul.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rajiv Ranjan Kr. Pandey

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Gyan Prakash Ojha (GA7)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHUTOSH KUMAR

ORAL ORDER

2 08-08-2019 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and

the State.

The petitioner, who is an applicant for being

considered for appointment on the post of Anganwari Sevika

at the concerned Centre, is aggrieved by the fact that the

process of selection has not been completed even though the



advertisement was issued long time back.

The petitioner has made representation before the concerned authority, namely, the C.D.P.O. and the District Programme Officer but till date the process has not been completed.

The petitioner is directed to make a suitable representation before the C.D.P.O. of the concerned Block, detailing her grievance and making a request for completing the process of selection as early as possible.

On receipt of such complaint / representation, the concerned C.D.P.O. (Respondent No. 6) shall pass an order within a period of 30 days as mandated in the new Guidelines of 2019. If the C.D.P.O. does not pass any order within the aforesaid period, the case of the petitioner would stand automatically transferred to the office of the District Programme Officer, who would be under an obligation to pass an order in accordance with law, within a reasonable period of time. If the petitioner still remains dissatisfied, she can prefer a revision against the aforesaid order before the concerned Divisional Commissioner, who shall pass the order



finally.

With the aforesaid observation / direction, this
petition stands disposed off.

(Ashutosh Kumar, J)

skm/-

U			
---	--	--	--

