

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.50333 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-99 Year-2017 Thana- SITAMARHI COMPLAINT CASE
District- Sitamarhi

=====

Anand Mohan, aged about 27 years (Male) Son of Vinod Ray, Resident of
Village - Chaupar, P.S.- Nanpur, District- Sitamarhi.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Babita Devi aged about 24 years (F) W/o Anand Mohan , D/o Yogi Rai
Permanent Resident of Village - Chaupar, P.S.- Nanpur, Dist.- Sitamarhi. At
Present residing in Village - Punaura East, P.S. + District - Sitamarhi.

... .. Opposite Parties

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr.Shiva Shankar Sharma, Advocate
For the State	:	APP
For Opposite Party No. 2:	:	Mr.Ram Sewak Prasad, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN
ORAL ORDER

2 13-08-2019 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned APP
for the State as well as learned counsel for the opposite party no. 2.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest for the offences
alleged under Section 498(A) of the Indian Penal Code registered in
connection with Complaint Case No. 99 of 2017.

3. It is submitted that the petitioner has been falsely
implicated and as a matter of fact, he was only minor at the time of
solemnization of his marriage in the year 2013. The petitioner
expresses that he is not ready to keep the opposite party no. 2 as his
wife . The petitioner claims clean antecedents.

4. Learned counsel for the opposite party no. 2 submits that
the marriage between the parties is legal and valid. It is submitted
that the petitioner has been ill-treating the informant and is refusing
to treat her as his legally wedded wife. Reference is invited to
paragraph 8 of the petition in which on the one hand the petitioner
has taken the stand that his is about 20 years of age while he himself



has stated his age as 27 years in the array of the parties. A daughter has been born on 07.09.2015 from the marriage, who is now four years old.

5. Having regard to the nature of accusations and gravity of offence alleged, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. The anticipatory bail petition stands dismissed.

(Vikash Jain, J)

BT/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

