

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.47942 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-195 Year-2019 Thana- BEUR District- Patna

=====

B.K. SINGH @ BABLOO SINGH Son of Mahendra Prasad Singh Resident
of Village - Sheonar, P.S.- Mokama, Dist.- Patna.

... .. Petitioner.

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party.

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Vijay Kumar, Advocate.
For the State : Mr. Ram Naresh Ray, A.P.P.

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJENDRA KUMAR
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

6 04-12-2019 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the

learned A.P.P. for the State as also the learned counsel for the

informant.

The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Beur
P.S. Case No.195 of 2019 registered under Sections 341, 323,
324, 307, 379 and 504/34 of the Indian Penal Code besides
Section 27 of the Arms Act, pending in the court of the
Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate-VIII, Patna.

The accusation is that while the informant Sonu
Pandey was in the way, at about 03.00 P.M. on 04.06.2019, at
that time this petitioner along with his son, namely, Abhishek
Kumar, Guddu Kumar and one staff encircled him and started to
abuse. When the informant made protest, then this petitioner



took out the pistol from his waist and fired at him, which passed through his thigh. Thereafter, when the informant fell down, Guddu Kumar snatched his gold chain.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that while the specific allegation against this petitioner is to shot fire at the informant through pistol, causing through and through injury on his thigh but it would appear from the injury report of the informant that no bony injury was found on his person. The nature of the injury of the informant is said to be grievous in nature due to passing of the firing through the muscle portion of his thigh. The petitioner is in custody sine 07.06.2019.

Having regard to the facts and the circumstances of the case and the nature of allegation against the petitioner, I am not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the prayer of the petitioner for grant of bail stands rejected. However, the petitioner would be liberty to renew his prayer for bail after six months.

(Rajendra Kumar Mishra, J)

P.S./-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

