

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.2867 of 2017

In

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.9798 of 2013

-
1. Lakhi Mandal and Ors Son of Late R.P. Mandal, Resident of Mohalla-Nariyal Ghat, P.S.- Danapur, P.O.- Digha, District- Patna.
 2. Panchu Ram, Son of Late Ram Briksh Ram, Resident of Village and P.O.- Baraka Balua, P.S.- Patahi, District- East Champaran presently resident at Hira Sadan, East Patel Nagar Road No. 1, P.S.- Shastri Nagar, District- Patna- 23.
 3. Most. Anarkali Kumar, Wife of Late Anil Kumar Das retired Market Secretary of Bihar State Agriculture Marketing (Repealed) Resident of Mohalla- Bhagirath, Lane Mahendra, P.O.- Mahendra, P.S.- Sultanganj, District- Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary Named Mr. Anjani Kumar Singh, Govt. of Bihar, Old Secretariat, Patna
2. The Principal Secretary Named Smt. Sujata Chaturvedi, Department of Finance, Government of Bihar, Old Secretariat, Patna
3. Mr. Sudhir Kumar, Principal Secretary, Department of Agriculture, New Secretariat, Vikash Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna
4. Mrs. Nivedita Roy the Joint Secretary, Department of Agriculture, Government of Bihar, New Secretariat, Vikash Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna
5. Mr. Himansu K. Roy, Administrator, Bihar State Agricultural Marketing Repealed Board, Pant Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna
6. The Screening Committee, of A.C.P. through the Chairman named Mr. Prabhu Ram, Additional Secretary, Department of Agriculture, New Secretariat, Vikash Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Panchu Ram (in person)

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Sarvesh Kumar Singh, AAG-13

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHAKRADHARI SHARAN SINGH

ORAL ORDER

8 14-08-2019 Regard being had to the order of this Court

dated 10.04.2019 wherein it had been recorded that this

Court's order, violation of which has been complained of



in the present contempt application, has been complied with to the extent the same related to petitioners no. 1 and 3.

Today, a supplementary show cause reply has been filed on behalf of opposite party nos. 3 to 6 and it has been stated that the said order has been complied with in respect of petitioner no. 2 also.

Petitioner no. 2 is appearing in person and states that this Court's order has been complied to the extent the same relates to him. He, however, has submitted that the opposite parties have wrongly withheld certain amount in respect of petitioner no. 3.

On examination of the stand taken on behalf of opposite parties, I find that there has been substantial compliance of this Court's order and no case of deliberate disobedience of this Court's order has been made out.

This contempt case is accordingly dropped. The petitioners shall be at liberty to approach the appropriate authority, if, according to them, the determination of the amount found payable to him is incorrect.

Let a chart showing calculation of the amount found payable to the petitioners be furnished to petitioner



no. 2, who is a practicing lawyer of this Court within four weeks from today.

(Chakradhari Sharan Singh, J)

Rajesh/-

U			
---	--	--	--

