

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.56517 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-948 Year-2017 Thana- PATNA COMPLAINT CASE District-
Patna

=====

SUJIT KUMAR @ SUJEET KUMAR Son of Jagjivan Ram @ Jagjivan
Mochi Resident of Jivrakhan Tola, Goraiya Sthan, P.S.- Maner, District- Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Manoj Kumar Son of Shri Rangila Ray Prop. of Manoj Enterprises, R/O-
Jivrakhan Tola, Goraiyasthan, P.O. and P.S.- Maner, District- Patna.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ghanshyam Tiwary

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Pranav Kumar

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

3 24-09-2019 This application, for grant of anticipatory bail,

arises out of Complaint Case No. 948[C]/2017, disclosing

offences under Sections 406, 409, 420, 465, 468, 323, 341, 504,

506, 420 and 120[B] of the Indian Penal Code.

Petitioner happens to be the salesman in the company
of complainant, who used to make delivery of articles door to
door and allegation against him is that he has not deposited the
money to the tune of Rs. 1,85,000/-, which were collected after
delivery of goods.

Submission of learned counsel for the petitioner is
that he has falsely been implicated in this case only after he
parted with the complainant and started his own business.



Heard learned A.P.P. also.

Having heard both sides, considering the facts and circumstances, I am not inclined to grant the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner rather petitioner should surrender before the court below and make prayer for regular bail and if any such application is filed, the court below will dispose of the same on the basis of materials available on record, without being prejudiced by this order.

This application is, accordingly, dismissed

(Vinod Kumar Sinha, J)

sunilkumar/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

