

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.1980 of 2017

In

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.7360 of 2008

-
1. Mahendra Nath Singh and Ors Sono f Sri Sita Ram Singh, Resident of Village + Post-Rasen, Police Station-Rajpur, District-Buxar, as Writ Petitioner no. 6 Museum Keeper.
 2. Badri Narayan Singh, Son of Late Pariksha Sah, Resident of Village + P.O.-Churamanpur, Police Station-Industrial Area, Buxar, District-Buxar, as Writ Petitioner no. 8 Museum Keeper.
 3. Sidheswar Tiwary, Son of Shri Ram Nath Tiwary, Resident of Village- Bari, P.O.-Mahadah, Police Station0Itedhi, District-Buxar, as Writ Petitioner no.9 Compounder.
 4. Satya Narayan Chaurashiya, Son of Late Ram Chandra Chaurashiya, Residence of Village + P.O.-Nagpur, P.S. Simari, District-Buxr, as Writ Petitioner no. 4 Peon.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. Shree R. K. Mahajan, the State of Bihar Through The Principal Secretary Health Medical Education & Family Welfare Department, Government of Bihar, Patna
2. Shree Sachida Nand Chaudhary, The Special Secretary, Health Medical Education and Family Welfare Department, Government of Bihar, Patna
3. Shree Dr. Satendra, The Director of General Aayush Deshi Chikishta Department, Government of Bihar, Patna
4. Shree Nagendra Prasad, Awar Secretary, The Health Medical Education and Family Welfare Department, Government of Bihar, Patna
5. Shree Dr. Tej Narayan Choubey, the Principal of Rajkiy Shree Dhanwantri Ayurvedic Mahavidyalaya & Chikitsalya, Ahirawhi, Buxar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Surendra Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. S. D. Yadav, Aag 9

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY
ORAL ORDER

3 22-02-2019 Learned counsel for the opposite parties submits that the order of the writ Court has already been complied with.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that there is discrepancy in the pay scale.

Considering the limited scope of contempt



jurisdiction, particularly, in view of the judgment of the Apex Court in the case of **Union of India and Others vs. Subedar Devassy PV**, reported in **(2006) 1 SCC 613** and reiterated in the case of **Sudhir Vasudeva, Chairman and MD, Natural Gas Corporation Limited and Others v M George Ravishekar and Others**, reported in **(2014) 3 SCC 373**, the Court is not inclined to proceed any further in the matter.

However, liberty shall be available to the petitioners that if they are aggrieved by the decision of the opposite parties to approach the appropriate forum in accordance with law.

With the aforesaid, the present application is disposed of.

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

uday/-

U			
---	--	--	--

