

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No. 1829 of 2017

Arising out of

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 11123 of 2012

Narayani Devi, W/o Daroga Tiwari, Resident of Village- Sidhipur, P.S. Nawanagar, District- Buxar at present resident of Village- Keshath, P.S. Nawanagar, District- Buxar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Secretary Sri Jitendra Srivastava, name of father not known to petitioner, Human Resources Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. Sri Jitendra Srivastava, name of the father not known to petitioner, the Secretary, Human Resources Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. Sri Raman Kumar, name of father not known to the petitioner, District Magistrate, Buxar.
4. Sri Onkar Prasad Singh, name of father not known to the petitioner, the District Education Officer, Buxar.
5. Sri Saiab Ansari, name of father not known to the petitioner, the Block Education Extension Officer, Buxar.
6. Sri Suresh Kumar, name of father not known to the petitioner, Accountant General, Bihar, Patna.
7. Sri Mukesh Kumar, name of father not known to the petitioner, the Head Master of Middle School, Keshath, District- Buxar.
8. Sri Dilip Kumar, name of father not known to the petitioner, the Provident Fund Officer, Government of Bihar, Patna.
9. Sri Rajesh Prasad, name of father not known to the petitioner, the District Provident Officer, Buxar, District- Buxar.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Arun Kumar Gupta, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Rakesh Narayan Singh, AC to AAG 15
For the Accountant General	:	Mrs. Namrata Mishra, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AHSANUDDIN

AMANULLAH

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 23-10-2019

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner; learned AC to AAG 15 for the State and learned counsel for the Accountant General.



2. Pursuant to order dated 30.09.2019, learned counsel for the State has produced cheque in favour of the petitioner for an amount of Rs. 1,16,659/- against dues of GPF along with up-to-date statutory interest.

3. Learned counsel for the State submitted that he has also received the detailed calculation chart but due to paucity of time, the same could not be brought on record.

4. Be that as it may, in view of the cheque being produced by learned counsel for the State, the same has been received by learned counsel for the petitioner.

5. Learned counsel for the State submitted that he would be giving copy of the calculation chart to learned counsel for the petitioner today itself.

6. In view thereof, the application stands disposed off.

7. However, it shall be open to the petitioner that if she finds any error in the calculation, she would be at liberty to file a detailed representation pointing out such defects before the District Education Officer, Buxar. If such a representation is filed within four weeks from today, the officer shall consider the same and get it verified from the records and pass a reasoned order within four weeks from the date of filing of the representation. If anything further is found due and payable to the petitioner, the same shall be



paid to her within three weeks from the date of passing of the order.

(Ahsanuddin Amanullah, J.)

P. Kumar

AFR/NAFR	
U	
T	

