

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.14963 of 2019

-
-
1. Vijay Kumar Mishra Son of Sri Kripa Shankar Mishra Resident of Village-Narauli, P.O.-Amarpur, P.S.-Darauli, District-Siwan
 2. Amit Kumar Son of Dhanukhi Sah Resident of Village-Singhlakhurd, P.S.-Samastipur, District-Samastipur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of Home, Bihar, Patna
2. The Principal Secretary Department of Home, Bihar, Patna
3. The Chairman Central election Commission (Constable Recruitment) Bihar, Patna

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Hitesh Suman, Advocate Ms. Usha Kumari Singh, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Shiv Shankar Prasad Singh (SC-8)
For C.S.B.C	:	Mr. Sanjay Pandey, Advocate Mr. Binod Kumar Mishra, Advocate Mr. Vivek Anand Amrithesh, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHUTOSH KUMAR
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 29-07-2019

Heard the learned counsel for the parties.

2. The petitioners have approached this Court for a direction to the respondent/Central Selection Board (Constable Recruitment), Bihar for appointing the petitioners on the post of Constable Driver.

3. It appears that even after having cleared all the hurdles in the examination, no appointment letter was issued to the petitioners.



4. Mr. Sanjay Pandey, learned advocate for the Board has informed this Court that at the time of verification of the documents furnished by aspirants/applicants, including the petitioners, it was found that the handwriting/fingerprint and signature of some of the candidates did not match with their original handwriting/fingerprint/signature in the form as well as in the attendance sheet at the time of mains examination. It was therefore doubted whether such persons had appeared in the examination or had managed to have others write the examination for them. For the aforesaid purpose, a case was lodged vide Gardanibagh P.S. Case No. 73 of 2019 in which the petitioners also have been arraigned as accused persons and which FIR is still pending investigation.

5. In the aforesaid background facts, no direction can be issued to the respondent Board for issuing any appointment letter to the petitioners.

6. It would be open for the petitioners to agitate



their claim if in investigation, they are exonerated.

7. With the aforesaid direction/observation, the writ petition is dismissed.

(Ashutosh Kumar, J)

Shageer/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	31/07/2019

