

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**

**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.19811 of 2019**

=====

Pankaj Kumar, male, aged about 45 years, Son of Krishna Prasad Singh, Resident of Mohalla- Chandmari Road, Azad Path, Post- Lohianagar, P.S.- Kankarbagh, District- Patna, Working as Senior Telecom Office Assistant, Office of the Sub-Divisional Engineer (Mobile-CCN), CTO Building, PGMTD, Patna- 800001.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Union of India through the Chairman-Cum- Managing Director, Bharat Sanchar Nigam Limited, Bharat Sanchar Bhawan, Harish Chandra Mathur Lane, Newn Delhi- 110001.
2. The Chief General Manager, Telecom, Bharat Sanchar Nigam Limited, Bihar Circle, Patna- 800001.
3. The General Manager (ADMN), Office of the Chief General Manager, Telecom, Bharat Sanchar Nigam Limited, Bihar Circle, Patna- 800001.
4. The Assistant General Manager (Estt), Bharat Sanchar Nigam Limited, Office of the Chief General Manager, Telecom, Bharat Sanchar Nigam Limited, Bihar Circle, Patna- 800001.
5. The Assistant General of Manager (Recruitment), Bharat Sanchar Nigam Limited, Office of the Chief General Manager, Telecom, Bharat Sanchar Nigam Limited, Bihar Circle, Patna- 800001.
6. The Principal General Manager, Bharat Sanchar Nigam Limited, Office of the Chief General Manager, Telecom, Bharat Sanchar Nigam Limited, Bihar Circle, Patna- 800001.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. M.P. Dixit, Advocate  
Mr. S.K. Dixit, Advocate  
Mr. Sanjay Kumar Choubey, Advocate  
Ms. Swastika, Advocate

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Rakesh Kumar



=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE**

**And**

**HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHUTOSH KUMAR**

**ORAL JUDGMENT**

**(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)**

**Date : 24-09-2019**

Having heard Shri M.P. Dixit, learned counsel for the petitioner and Shri Harendra Prasad Singh, learned counsel for the respondent-Bharat Sanchar Nigam Limited, this petition deserves to be allowed on the short ground that the Central Administrative Tribunal, Patna Bench, Patna (*hereinafter referred to as the Tribunal*) has neither discussed the facts nor accorded reasons nor has arrived at any conclusion on the basis of any material on record that can be deciphered from the perusal of the order.

3. The order unfortunately is cryptic and short enough to be set-aside with a request to the Tribunal to deliver an order, keeping in view the principles laid down in Order-XX of the Civil Procedure Code.

4. The petition is therefore, accordingly, allowed.

5. The impugned order dated 15<sup>th</sup> April, 2019 is set-aside. The matter shall be re-heard and decided by the



Tribunal by a reasoned and speaking order, preferably within  
  
a period of three months.

**(Amreshwar Pratap Sahi, CJ)**

**(Ashutosh Kumar, J)**

Praveen-II/Shageer

|                   |            |
|-------------------|------------|
| AFR/NAFR          | NAFR       |
| CAV DATE          | N/A        |
| Uploading Date    | 25.09.2019 |
| Transmission Date | N/A        |

