

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.48040 of 2019**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-298 Year-2018 Thana- AMAS District- Gaya

SUJEET KUMAR @GOLU Son of Vinod Thakur Resident of Village -  
Chandpur (Kajratar), P.S.- Roshanganj, District - Gaya

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Naresh Chandra Verma, Advocate  
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Anand Mohan Prasad Mehta

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHUTOSH KUMAR**  
**ORAL ORDER**

3      25-09-2019                      Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and  
the State.

The petitioner seeks bail in connection with  
Amas P.S. Case No. 298 of 2018 dated 22.12.2018  
instituted for the offence under Sections 302, 201,  
120B/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

The petitioner is said to be in custody since  
23.04.2019.

The impugned order dated 22.06.2019 does  
not state any factual detail about the facts of the case.  
The court below has only written general sentences  
without advertng to the merits or the facts of the case.  
This is no way of passing an order in a bail petition. The  
court below ought to understand that an order could only  
be of some value, if it exposits facts necessary for



decision in the case. This Court gets no idea about the facts of the case from reading the impugned order.

The case is remitted to the learned Additional District and Sessions Judge IIIrd, Gaya for writing out a fresh order in accordance with law within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

With the aforesaid observations, the petition is disposed of.

The copy of the case diary which has been sent in this case be returned forthwith.

If the prayer for bail is rejected, it would be open for the petitioner to approach this Court again.

**(Ashutosh Kumar, J)**

krishna/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

