

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.2210 of 2017

=====

Tripti Singh Wife of Rajbali Singh Resident of Village - Katie Bojh, P.S. -
Tiyar, District - Bhojpur, Ara.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Principal Secretary, Social Welfare Department,
Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The District Magistrate, Bhojpur at Ara.
3. The Sub-Divisional Officer, Jagdishpur, Bhojpur.
4. The Child Development Programme Officer, Jagdishpur, District - Bhojpur.
5. The Panchayat Secretary, Bhojpur, Ara.
6. The Mukhiya, Hettamplur Gram Panchayat Raj, District - Bhojpur.
7. Reena Devi Wife of Santosh Kumar Yadav
8. Preeti Pathak Wife of Manoj Pathak Both resident of Village - Katli, P.S. -
Tiyar, District - Bhojpur, Ara.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shyama Kant Singh
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Smt. Kumari Amrita -Gp3

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHIVAJI PANDEY
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 12-07-2019

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

In this case, learned counsel for the petitioner has claimed that admittedly, the certificate of Reena Kumari, who was appointed on the post of Anganwari Sevika, has been found to be forged and fabricated and, on that account, she was dispensed with the services of Anganwari Sevika and, in the panel, the petitioner is the next one and, she should be appointed in her place on the post of Angawari Sevika.



The District Programme Officer, after recording the fact that the selection of Reena Kumari was illegal, recommended for taking action against Gitanjali Kumari, Supervisor and directed for fresh selection.

If the petitioner is not satisfied with the direction of the District Programme Officer, she could have filed an appeal before the Appellate Authority but, instead of filing appeal, she has straightway come to this Court.

In that view of the matter, this Court does not find any merit in the present writ application. Accordingly, the same is dismissed.

The petitioner, if so advised, may challenge the order passed by the District Programme Officer before the Appellate Authority.

If the petitioner files an appeal, the Appellate Authority, while considering the limitation, will take into account the pendency of this writ application before this Court.

(Shivaji Pandey, J)

rishi/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	16.07.2019
Transmission Date	

