

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.2869 of 2017

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.17599 of 2013

=====

Iftekhhar Ahmad Son Of Late Abdul Karim, Resident Of Village - Nautan
Balua, P.S. - Nautan District - West Champaran, Bettiah.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar.
2. Sri Lokesh Kumar Singh, District Magistrate, Bettiah, West Champaran.
3. Sri Shyama Kant Prasad, Circle Officer, Nautan, Bettiah, District- West Champaran.
4. Sri Sunil Kumar, Sub-Divisional Officer, Bettiah, West Champaran.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Dhananjay Kumar
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Khurshid Alam-AAG-12

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY
ORAL ORDER

3 14-03-2019 Having regard to the limited jurisdiction of the contempt court, particularly, in view of the judgment of the Apex Court in the case of Union of India and Others Vs. Subedar Devassy PV, reported in (2006) 1 SCC 613 reiterated in the case of Sudhir Vasudeva, Chairman and MD, Natural Gas Corporation Limited and Others Vs. M. George Ravishekar and Others reported in (2014) 3 SCC 373, the Court is not inclined to widen the scope of contempt jurisdiction and proceed any further in the instant case.

In view of the above, the Court is not inclined to issue any direction to the authorities. While disposing the writ



application as the writ court has observed the respondents has to take appropriate step in accordance with law an appropriate application. The aforesaid observation is a wish of the Court that the law enforcing agency has to take care of the obligation in accordance with law and as such no more direction is required in the present application. However, liberty shall be available to the petitioner to approach the appropriate authority for appropriate remedy in accordance with law.

With the aforesaid liberty, the application stands disposed of.

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

mdrashid/-

U			
---	--	--	--

