

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.15725 of 2019

=====

Dharmendra Kumar, aged about 70 years, Gener-Male, Son of late Dwarika Prasad Singh, Resident of Defence Colony Sahpur Danapur, P.S. Danapur and District- Patna.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Road Construction Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Principal Secretary, Water Resources Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. District Magistrate, Patna.
5. Sub Divisional Officer, Danapur, Patna.
6. Deputy Collector land Reforms Danapur, Patna.
7. Circle Officer, Danapur Circle, Patna.
8. Executive Engineer, Ganga- Sone Barh Suraksha Pramandal, Digha (Digha Thana Nahar), Bihar Patna.
9. The Union of India through Ministry of Defence, New Delhi.
10. The Commandant, Bihar Regimental Centre, Danapur Cantt, Patna, Bihar.
11. Bihar State Power Holding Company through its Chairman, Patna, Bihar.
12. The Chairman, Bihar State Power Holding Company Patna, Bihar.

... .. Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. D.K. Sionha, Sr. Advocate Mr. Abhinay Raj, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Vinay Kriti Singh, G.A.-2 Mr. Sumant Kumar Singh, A.C. to G.A.-2
For the Union of India	:	Mr. S.D. Sanjay, Addl. S.G. Mr. R.K. Sharma, C.G.C.
For the For the BSPHC	:	Mr. Vinay Kriti Singh, Sr. Advocate Mr. Vijay Kumar Verma, Advocate Mr. Akhileshwar Singh, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHIVAJI PANDEY
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY
ORAL JUDGMENT
(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHIVAJI PANDEY)

Date : 02-09-2019

Heard learned counsel appearing for the respective parties.



In this case, the petitioner has filed a supplementary affidavit, attaching the information provided under the Right to Information Act, from where it appears that the Army has done the fencing work over protection embankment for safety and security of training area.

Any fencing done over the land of the State Government, that has to be looked into by the authority of the State Government, in no way concern to public as it is not causing any inconvenience into the traffic or public in general.

In such view of the matter, we are not proposing to proceed further in the matter. Accordingly, this writ petition is disposed of.

(Shivaji Pandey, J)

(Partha Sarthy, J)

pawan/-

AFR/NAFR	N.A.F.R.
CAV DATE	N/A
Uploading Date	09.09.2019
Transmission Date	

