

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.2678 of 2019

Arising out of

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.20719 of 2011

=====

Dewesh Chandra Prasad S/o Late Ramesh Chandra Prasad Resident of
Mohalla- Dahiyawan, P.s.- Chhapra, District- Saran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. Ashok Kumar Sinha
2. Smt. Poonam Sinha W/o Sri Ashok Kumar Sinha, both respondent nos. 1 and 2 care of Sri Sudhir Kumar, Road No. 14, R.M.S. Colony, Kankarbagh, Patna.
3. Praveen Kumar Secretary, “Adhinav Niwa, Sikandar Manjhi, Fraser Road, Patna at present Athinaw Awas Gola Road, Danapur, P.S.- Danapur, District- Patna.
4. Smt. Krishna Devi, W/o Arun Kumar Das, 148, Rental Flat Road No. 3, Kankarbagh, Patna-20
5. Sri Chandra Mani Dutta S/o Late Rama Prasad Dutta Resident of Kathi Madhubani, District- Madhubani.
6. Smt. Maya Dutta W/o Chandra Mani Dutta, Resident of Rathi Madhubani, P.S. and District- Madhubani.
7. Shri Kaushal Kumar Das, Secretary, Rangkarmi Sahkari Grih Nirman Samiti, Khajpura, P.S.- Gardanibagh, District- Patna.
8. Jai Hind Prasad S/o Late Kesho Singh Resident of Mohalla- Linapur Mirzapur Nawadah, P.s.- Nawadah, Distt.- Nawadah, at present posted in Indian Bank, Patna Main Branch Biscomaun Building, P.S.- Gandhi Maidan, District- Patna.
9. Manju Devi W/o Chandra Mani Prasad, resident of village- Kulna, P.S.- Akbarpur, District- Patna at present resident of Mohalla- Kurji Balupar, P.O.- Sadakat Aashram, Kurji, Balupar, P.S.- Digha, District- Patna.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Anil Kumar Dwivedi, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s :

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AHSANUDDIN AMANULLAH



ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 27-08-2019

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

2. The present application seeks modification in the judgment dated 03.08.2018 passed in CWJC No. 20719 of 2011 pointing out to some inadvertent typographical error.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that in the third paragraph at page no. 2 in the second line with reference to the amendment petition it has been written that the same was filed on 23.06.2011. Learned counsel submitted that the same is an error, inasmuch as, the date of filing of the amendment petition was 29.06.2011. He referred to the materials on record in CJWC No. 20719 of 2011 to substantiate such claim.

4. Having considered the matter, it appears that the contention of learned counsel for the petitioner is correct.

5. Accordingly, in the judgment dated 03.08.2018 passed in CWJC No. 20719 of 2011, at paragraph no. 3, page no. 2, in the second line, the date of filing of the amendment petition stands corrected as “29.06.2011” instead of “23.06.2011”.

6. The judgment dated 03.08.2018 passed in CWJC No. 20719 of 2011 stands modified to the extent indicated above.



7. The application stands disposed off in the
aforementioned terms.

(Ahsanuddin Amanullah, J)

Anjani/-

AFR/NAFR	
U	
T	

