

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.45920 of 2019**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-209 Year-2015 Thana- MUFFASIL District- Aurangabad

NEERAJ SONY @ NEERAJ KUMAR SONY Son of Govind Sony @
Govind Prasad Swarnkar Resident of Village- Bahuara Road Deo, P.S.- Deo,
District- Aurangabad.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Raj Dular Sah

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Akhileshwar Dayal

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR
ORAL ORDER**

3 30-09-2019 Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Petitioner who is in custody seeks bail in a case
registered for the offence punishable under Section 395 of the
Indian Penal Code.

Informant has alleged in his fardbeyan that 8 to 9
unknown criminals on the strength of arms looted articles and
cash worth Rs. 5,96,000/- from his pick-up van.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that
he is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case.
Nothing has been recovered from the possession of the
petitioner. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that
the name of the petitioner has surfaced in this case on the basis
of confessional statement of co-accused Amarjit Kumar.



Petitioner has not been put on TIP till date. He is a labourer and is in custody since 17.08.2018.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioner named above be released on bail upon furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned court below where the case is pending, in connection with **Aurangabad Mufassil P.S. Case No. 209 of 2015**, with following conditions:-

(1) Bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned.

(2) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and his/her absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his/her bail bond shall be cancelled by the court below.

(3) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

(S. Kumar, J)

ranjan/-

U			
---	--	--	--

