

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.45197 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-65 Year-2019 Thana- TEKARI District- Gaya

=====

Anil Yadav @ Anil Kumar, S/o Rambhajan Yadav, R/o village- Rajabigha,
Dharamshala, P.S.- Tekari, District- Gaya

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shailesh Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Gauri Shankar Gupta, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

2 23-07-2019 This is an application for grant of anticipatory bail in connection with Tekari (Panchanpur) P.S. Case No.65 of 2019, disclosing offence under Section 304 B of IPC.

Petitioner happens to be the husband of the deceased and the case is dowry death.

Submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner is that her statement was recorded by S.I. in ANMCH Hospital after the occurrence, in which she has stated that due to the dispute between her and the petitioner, she set herself on fire and as such, the prosecution case is false and concocted. Further submission is that the F.I.R. was lodged after one month delay.

Heard learned A.P.P. also, who opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail on the ground that there is allegation of demand of dowry as well as torture and the accused persons have killed her by setting her on fire and the witnesses have



supported the prosecution case, during the course of investigation.

Having heard both sides, in view of the facts and circumstances, as stated above, I am not inclined to grant privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, however, he may surrender before the learned court below and make prayer for regular bail.

With the aforesaid, this application is dismissed.

(Vinod Kumar Sinha, J)

Sunil Shukla/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

