

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.14882 of 2019

=====

Ranjeeta Kumari Wife of Late Dilip Kumar Resident of Village-Haribela,
Ward No. 10 Panchayat-Dighi, Block and Police Station-Bathnaha, District-
Sitamarhi, Bihar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Social Welfare Department, Secretariat, Patna
2. The District Magistrate Sitamarhi
3. The District Program Officer (Establishment) Sitamarhi
4. Child Development Project Officer Bathnaha, Sitamarhi
5. Selection Committee constituted for selection of the Aanganwari Sewika, Ward No. 10, Village Bela, Block and P.S.-Bathnaha, District-Sitamarhi through its Chairman
6. Punam Kumari Wife of Devendra Prasad Resident of Village-Haribela, Ward No. 10 Panchayat-Dighi, Block and Police Station-Bathnaha, District-Sitamarhi
7. Rekha Bharti Wife of Baidyanath Bhagat Resident of Village-Haribela, Ward No. 10 Panchayat-Dighi, Block and Police Station-Bathnaha, District-Sitamarhi
8. Sita Kumari Wife of Purushottam Kumar Resident of Village-Haribela, Ward No. 10 Panchayat-Dighi, Block and Police Station-Bathnaha, District-Sitamarhi
9. Prema Kumari Wife of Jivesh Kumar Resident of Village-Haribela, Ward No. 10 Panchayat-Dighi, Block and Police Station-Bathnaha, District-Sitamarhi

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Amit Narayan, Advocate Mr. Arun Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Ms. Kumari Amrita (Gp3)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHUTOSH KUMAR
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 26-07-2019

Heard the learned counsel for the parties.

2. The petitioner is aggrieved by the appointment
of private respondent no. 6 on the post of Aanganwari
Sevika at the concerned centre.



3. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that even private respondents no. 7, 8 and 9 who ranked higher than the petitioner cannot be appointed as their appointment would be *de hors* the rules. Per force, if the appointment of private respondent no. 6 is found to be against the rules, the petitioner would be offered the aforesaid post. Though the petitioner claims to have laid her grievance before all the authorities including the District Magistrate but no decision has been taken as yet.

4. The petitioner, under the aforesaid circumstances, is directed to make a complaint before the Child Development Project Officer, Bathnaha, Sitamarhi (respondent no. 4) within a period of two weeks, who, on receipt of such complaint shall look into the matter, hear the concerned parties and shall pass order within thirty days of receipt of such complaint.

5. In case no order is passed within the aforesaid timeline, the matter would stand transferred automatically



to the office of the District Programme Officer, Sitamarhi
who shall take a decision in accordance with law.

6. If the petitioner still remains dissatisfied, she
may prefer a revision before the concerned Divisional
Commissioner. The aforesaid process has been delineated
under the new guidelines of 2019.

7. With the aforesaid direction/observation, the
writ petition is disposed of.

(Ashutosh Kumar, J)

krishna/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	27.07.2019
Transmission Date	

