

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.46390 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-91 Year-2019 Thana- BAUSI District- Purnia

-
1. Md. Islam Ansari, Aged about 70 years, Male, S/o Late Doman Ansari
Resident of Village- Puranaganj, P.S.- Baisi, District- Purnea
 2. Bibi Meharzo Khatoon, W/o Md. Islam Ansari, Resident of Village-
Puranaganj, P.S.- Baisi, Dist- Purnea.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Pramod Kumar Mallick

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Rajeev Nayan (App231)

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

2 26-07-2019 Heard both sides.

Petitioners apprehend their arrest in Baisi P.S. Case No.91 of 2019, registered under Sections 306 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

The informant alleged that his son was married to the daughter of the petitioners but the petitioners did not allow their daughter to live in the house of her husband. A *panchayati* was held and the daughter of the petitioners went to the house of her husband but again the petitioners brought their daughter to their house and lodged the case against her husband and other in laws under Section 498A of the Indian Penal Code. The settlement was made but again the petitioners did not allow their daughter



to go to the house of her husband. The son-in-law of the petitioners being disgusted with the behaviour of the petitioners and his wife committed suicide by hanging himself with a tree.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners never tortured their son-in-law and they have no hand in instigating their son-in-law to commit suicide but it appears that the petitioners with the help of their daughter subjected the son of the informant to different sorts of torture and never allowed their daughter to live with her husband. Consequently being compelled by the petitioners, the son of the informant committed suicide.

Considering the facts aforesaid, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioners on anticipatory bail. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners is rejected.

(Prabhat Kumar Jha, J)

S.KUMAR/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

