

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**

**Criminal Miscellaneous No.68337 of 2018**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-277 Year-2018 Thana- KARAHGAR District-  
Rohtas

=====

Chandan Kumar Rai S/o Ramchandra Rai, R/o Village- Babhan Barehata  
P.S. Kargahar, District- Rohtas.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State Of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner : Mr. Digvijay Kumar Ojha, Advocate.

For the Opposite Party: APP

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN**

ORAL ORDER

3      18-01-2019                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned  
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest for the offences  
alleged under Sections 147, 148, 149, 341, 323, 307, 384, 504 of  
the Indian Penal Code and Section 27 of the Arms Act registered  
in connection with kargahar P.S. Case No. 277 of 2018.

3. It is submitted that the petitioner has been falsely  
implicated and in any event the thrust of accusation of firing  
upon the informant is upon accused Manoj Kumar. The injury  
sustained by Krishnanand Rai resulting from assault with *rami*  
attributed to the petitioner is simple in nature. The petitioner  
claims clean antecedents.

4. Be that as it may, having regard to the entirety of  
the facts and circumstances of the case, in the event of the  
petitioner's arrest or surrender before the court below within six  
weeks from the date of communication of this order, let the above  
named petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of  
Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of like amount each  
to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Rohtas,  
Sasaram in connection with Kargahar P.S. Case No. 277 of 2018,



subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C., and also subject to the following further conditions:

(i) That one of the bailors shall be a close relative of the petitioner.

(ii) That the petitioner shall not indulge in any similar offence till conclusion of the trial.

(iii) The petitioner shall cooperate with the investigation, if not already concluded, and make himself available as and when so required and in case of failure, the State shall be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(iv) The petitioner shall remain physically present in Court on each and every date during trial and in the event of failure on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be liable to be cancelled by the learned Court concerned.

**(Vikash Jain, J)**

Ibrar/

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

