

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.15603 of 2019

=====

Priyanka Prasad Wife of Mantu Kumar, Resident of Village Masudhi, P.S.
Nardiganj, District- Nalanda.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Director, the Social Welfare Department,
Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The District Magistrate, Nawada.
3. The District Welfare Officer, Nawada.
4. The Deputy Commissioner Welfare Department Nawada.
5. The District Programme Officer, Nawada.
6. The Child Development Programme Officer, Nardiganj, Nawada.
7. The Ladies Supervision, Block Nardiganj.
8. The Mukhiya Gram Panchayat Raj, Masrudina, Nardiganj.
9. The Panchayat Secretary Cum Panchayat Sevak, Gram Panchayat Raj
Masrudria Nardiganj.
10. Anupriya Kumari, Wife of Dharajay Village- Masrudha, Ward No. 3, P.S.
Nardiganj, District- Nawada.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Pramod Kumar Verma, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Ms. Neelam Kumari, AC to SC 3

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHUTOSH KUMAR
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 19-08-2019

Heard the learned counsel for the parties.

2. The petitioner is aggrieved by the selection of

private respondent no. 10 on the post of Aanganwari

Sevika on the ground that she does not hail from the

relevant/concerned ward for her to be selected. The



appointment to such post was made for Ward No. 4 but private respondent no. 10 hails from Ward No. 3.

3. The aforesaid contention was made by the petitioner before the District Magistrate, Nawada immediately after her selection but no action has been taken in this regard as yet.

4. Under the aforesaid circumstances, the petitioner is directed to make a fresh complaint before the Child Development Programme Officer, Nardiganj, Nawada (respondent no. 6). Should such a complaint be filed within a period of two weeks from today, the CDPO shall look into the matter, verify the facts and if possible hear the parties and shall pass a reasoned order in accordance with law within a period of thirty days from the receipt of the complaint as mandated under the new guidelines of 2019.

5. If the petitioner would not be satisfied with the order passed by the CDPO referred to above, she would have an option of challenging such order before the District Programme Officer, Nawada who is the second



appellate authority under the rules. Thereafter, the petitioner has forum of revision before the concerned Divisional Commissioner.

6. With the aforesaid direction/observation, the writ petition is disposed of.

(Ashutosh Kumar, J)

krishna/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	21.08.2019
Transmission Date	

