

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL REVISION No.762 of 2015**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.- Year-1111 Thana- District-

=====

Sima Devi Wife of Sushil Kumar Verma, Daughter of Birendra Prasad  
Resident of Village-Piro, Akarua, P.S.-Piro, District-Bhojpur (Ara).

... .. Petitioner.

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar.
2. Sushil Kumar Verma son of Dhurendhar Verma Resident of Village and  
P.O.-Gangauli, P.S.-Simari, District-Buxar.

... .. Opposite Parties.

=====

**Appearance :**

|                              |   |                                                                        |
|------------------------------|---|------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| For the Petitioner           | : | Mr. Brajesh Prasad Gupta, Advocate.<br>Mr. Rajnandan Prasad, Advocate. |
| For the State                | : | Mr. Dashrath Mehta, A.P.P.                                             |
| For the opposite party no.2: | : | Mr. Sanjay Kumar, Advocate.                                            |

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJENDRA KUMAR  
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

- 3      21-06-2019                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned  
  
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State and learned counsel  
  
for the opposite party no.2.

This criminal revision application is directed against  
  
the order dated 13.08.2015 passed in Divorce Case No.19 of  
2013/26 of 2014, by which and whereunder the Principal Judge,  
Family Court, Ara, rejected the petition dated 18.04.2015 filed  
under Section 24 of the Hindu Marriage Act by the petitioner for  
interim maintenance against the opposite party no.2, during the  
pendency of the aforesaid Divorce Case.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the



petitioner and the opposite party no.2 are wife and husband and, now, the dispute has been settled in between them and both are leading their conjugal life happily, as such, the petitioner does not want to press this application.

In that view of the matter learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw this application.

Permission is accorded.

This application is dismissed as withdrawn.

**(Rajendra Kumar Mishra, J)**

P.S./-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

