

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.3188 of 2012

=====

INDRA DEO YADAV S/O Late Baiju Yadav R/O Quarter No. C/4, B.S.E.B.
Colony, P.S.- Shastri Nagar, Patna, District- Patna Petitioner

Versus

1. The Bihar State Electricity Board, through its Chairman Vidyut Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna
2. The Chairman Bihar State Electricity Board, Vidyut Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna
3. The Secretary Bihar State Electricity Board, Vidyut Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna
4. The Joint Secretary Bihar State Electricity Board, Vidyut Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna

... .. Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Rajendra Kumar Giri
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Vinay Kirti Singh

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MADHURESH PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

3 02-09-2019 It is submitted by Counsel appearing for the petitioner that the respondents at the highest level have taken a decision not to proceed against the petitioner in the departmental proceeding. Against the same proceedings, the instant writ petition was filed. The consequential benefits as a result of such decision could not be extended to the petitioner by the authorities in view of pendency of the instant proceeding. He submits that pendency of the instant proceeding is coming in the way of grant of consequential benefits on account of decision of the General Manager dated 3.4.2019 of the respondent Company.

In view of the said submission the writ petition stands



disposed of.

It would be open to the respondent authorities to proceed further in light of their decision dated 3.4.2019 in accordance with law.

(Madhuresh Prasad, J)

SNkumar/-

U			
---	--	--	--

