

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.1068 of 2015

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.7199 of 2011

=====

1. Saryug Mehtar @ Saryug Ram, son of late Baldeo Ram, resident of Muhalla Near Chunkhari, Ward No. 17, P.S.-Barh, District-Patna
2. Sunil Kumar, son of late Devi Pandit, resident of Mohalla-Paijawar, P.S.-Barh, District-Patna
3. Ram Mohan Prasad, son of late Janardan Sahu, resident of Muhalla-Jagdanand Kzichak, P.S.-Barh, District-Patna
4. Amrendra Paswan, son of late Kedar Paswan, resident of village- Zafar Chak, P.S.- Barh, District-Patna
5. Dilip Kumar Ram, son of late Kapil Deo Ram, resident of Mohalla-Dharmpur Bata, P.S.-Hatidah, District-Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Sri Amrit Lal Meena, son of not known to the petitioner, the Secretary, Urban Development Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. Sri Jai Singh, son of not known to the petitioners, the Joint Secretary, Urban Development Department, Government of Bihar, Patna
4. Sri Vijay Ranjan, son of not known to the petitioner, the Deputy Secretary, Urban Development Department, Government of Bihar, Patna
5. The Nagar Parishad through its Chairman Smt. Shakunta Devi, wife of not known to the petitioner, Nagar Parishad, Barh, District-Patna
6. Smt. Shakuntla Devi, wife of not known to the petitioner, Chairman, Nagar Parishad, Barh, District-Patna
7. Sri Muzaffar Ahmad Buland Akhtar, son of not known to the petitioner, the Executive Officer, Nagar Parishad, Barh, District-Patna
8. Sri Brajesh Kumar Singh, son of not known to the petitioner, the Town Manager, Nagar Parishad, Barh, District-Patna

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Chandra Bhushan Prasad
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Sc15 A P Singh

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY
ORAL ORDER

7 03-01-2019 Heard learned counsels for the parties.

Considering the fact that in compliance of the
direction issued by this Court, the respondents-opposite parties
have passed reasoned order.



The validity of the reasoned order cannot be examined in the present proceeding. However, liberty shall be available to the petitioners to challenge the same in appropriate proceeding including the Court.

With the aforesaid, the present application stands disposed of.

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

Ravi/-

U			
---	--	--	--

