

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.42946 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-173 Year-2016 Thana- CHHATAPUR District- Supaul

Sanjay Goit, aged about 32 years (Male) Son of Sahdeo Goit @ Sahdeo Yadav, Resident of village-Hariharpur, Ward No. 9, Police Station- Chhatapur, District- Supaul.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Naresh Kumar Mehta, Advocate
For the Opposite Party : APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN
ORAL ORDER

2 12-07-2019 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest for the offences alleged under Sections 341, 323, 354, 379, 427, 504 and 506/34 of the Indian Penal Code registered in connection with Chhatapur P.S. Case No. 173 of 2016.

3. It is submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the backdrop of family dispute regarding rights over *Gouhal* (cow-shed). The informant and the petitioner are cousins and the nature of accusations under Section 354 of the IPC is highly improbable. The petitioner claims clean antecedents.

4. Be that as it may, in the event of the petitioner's arrest or surrender before the court below within six weeks from the date of communication of this order, let the above named petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate-II, Supaul, District Supaul in connection with Chhatapur P.S. Case No. 173 of 2016, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C. and with



further conditions --

(i) That one of the bailors shall be a close relative of the petitioner.

(ii) That the petitioner shall not indulge in any similar offence till conclusion of the trial.

(iii) That the petitioner shall remain physically present in court on each and every date during trial and in the event of failure on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be liable to be cancelled by the learned Court concerned.

(iv) That the petitioner shall cooperate with the investigation, if not already concluded, and make himself available as and when so required and in case of failure, the State shall be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(Vikash Jain, J)

BT/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

