IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No 19796 of 2016

==

Krishna Kumar Jha, S/o Late Ram Narayan Jha, resident of Village and P.O.- Bhawanipur, P.S.- Sakari, District- Madhubani

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The Principal Secretary, Finance Department, Bihar
3. The Director, Treasury, Bihar
4. The District Magistrate, Madhubani
5. The Treasury Officer, Madhubani
6. The Assistant General Manager, Centralized Pension Processing Cell (CPPC), State Bank Of India, 4th Floor, Judges Court Road (J C Road), Anta Ghat, Patna - 1
7. The Branch Manager, State Bank Of India, Main Branch, Madhubani
8. The Branch Manager, State Bank Of India, Branch - Agricultural Development, Madhubani

... ... Respondent/s

==

For the Petitioner/s : Mr Bimal Kumar Jha, Advocate
For the S t a t e : Ms Shilpi Keshri, C to AAG X
For the B a n k : M/s Kaushlendra Kr Sinha, Sunil Kr Singh, Advs

==

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE MADHURESH PRASAD

ORAL ORDER

5 **20-05-2019** Matter has been listed today in the Summer Vacations as the learned counsel for the petitioner was desirous for consideration of his case during vacation.

The slip for listing was filed pursuant to notice dated 08th May 2019, published prior to the vacation.

Matter is, accordingly, taken up for consideration as both parties are present and willing to assist the Court for disposal of the matter.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the respondent-State.

It is submitted by petitioner’s counsel that certain deductions have been shown to have been made in petitioner's pension slip on account of income tax. He submits that as per his information, the same amounts have not been credited to petitioner's permanent account number.

The issue, which remains in the proceedings, is primarily in relation to the tax liability of the petitioner for which he would be entitled to appropriate remedy before the authorities. The relief, which was claimed in the instant writ proceedings regarding payment of sixth pension revision and other pension relief with consequential benefits, have, admittedly, been paid.

In the circumstances, this Court does not consider keeping the proceedings pending.

Writ petition is, therefore, disposed of.

Petitioner would be at liberty to approach the appropriate authorities for claims in respect to the TDS amount shown to have been deducted under the pension payment order which is Annexure B to the counter affidavit filed by the respondent-State Bank of India.

(Madhuresh Prasad, J)

M.E.H./-

U			