

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Letters Patent Appeal No.495 of 2018

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.18639 of 2009

=====

Babubhai Vithalbhai Solanki, Son of Sri Bithal Bhai Solanki, Resident of Village and Post- Umta , Tehsil- Visnagar, District- Mahesana, Gujarat- 38432, at present C-304, Shivesh-621, Opp Gayatri Bunglows, Talav Ni Kinare, Lambha, Ahmedabad, Lamba, Gujrat- 382405

... .. Appellant/s

Versus

1. The Union of India, through Secretary, Department of Home, Government of India, New Delhi.
2. The Director General of Central Reserve Police Force, R.K. Puram, New Delhi.
3. The Additional Director-Cum- Inspector General, Central Reserve Police Force, Chandigarh, Union Territory.
4. The Deputy Inspector General, Central Reserve Police Force, Chandigarh, Union Territory.
5. The Commandant, 134-B, Battalion, Central Reserve Police Force, Gaighat, Gulzarbagh, Patna- 800007.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s	:	Mr. Sumant Kumar Singh, Sr. Adv. Mr. Dinesh Maharaj, Adv.
For the U.O.I.	:	Mr. S.D Sanjay Addl. Soc. Gen. Mr. R.K. Sharma, C.G.C.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DINESH KUMAR SINGH

ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

5 19-11-2019 The instant appeal has been filed for following relief(s):-

“It is, therefore, prayed that Your Lordships may be pleased to set aside the impugned order dated 09.03.2018, passed in C.W.J.C. No. 18639 of 2009, by Hon’ble Mr. Justice Shivaji Pandey and be further pleased to set aside the order dated 6.6.2001 passed by the Competent Authority as contained in Annexure-5 of the writ application, the order dated 22.10.2001 passed



by the Appellate Authority as contained in Annexure-8 of the writ application, the order dated 22.07.2002 passed by the Revisional Authority as contained in Annexure-10 of the writ application and order dated 31.07.2002 passed by the Director General, Central Reserve Police Force Authority as contained in Annexure-11 of the writ application and order dated 26.09.2003 as contained in Annexure-12 passed by the secretary Ministry of Home Affairs.”

Learned counsel for the appellant seeks permission to withdraw the instant appeal reserving the liberty to represent to the appropriate authority/respondent no.4.

Permission granted clarifying that we have not interfered with the findings of the impugned judgment passed by learned Single Judge.

It goes without saying that the representation, if any, shall be considered expeditiously on its own merit in accordance with law.

Accordingly, the instant appeal stands dismissed as withdrawn.

(Sanjay Karol, CJ)

(Dinesh Kumar Singh, J)

Anil/Amrendra

U			
---	--	--	--

