

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.12745 of 2019

=====

Babita Kumari @ Babita Devi, female, aged about 30 years, Wife of Kamlesh Kumar Singh, Resident of village- Dhatta Tol Rudrapur Ward No. 13 Gali P.S. Rudrapur, District- Madhubani.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Director ICDS (integrated Child Development Scheme) Bihar Patna.
2. The Collector, Madhubani.
3. The District Programme Officer, Madhubani.
4. The Selection Committee of the Anganbari Sevika of Gram Panchayat Gaur Andhra Block Andharharhi Madhubani through it's Secretary.
5. The Child Development Project Officer Andharharhi, District- Madhubani.
6. Priyanka Bharti, Wife of Shailendra Kumar, Resident of village- Dhatta Tol, P.S. Rudrapur District- Madhubani.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Gagan Deo Yadav, Adv.
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Prashant Pratap (GP2)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHUTOSH KUMAR

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 28-06-2019

The petitioner is aggrieved by the appointment of private respondent No. 6 as Aanganbari Sevika at the concerned Aanganbari Centre in the district of Madhubani.

2. It appears that the petitioner has been



barking up the wrong tree and making complaints against the appointment of private respondent No. 6 before the C.D.P.O., Madhubani and the District Magistrate, Madhubani. In fact, the petitioner should have immediately filed a complaint, if she was aggrieved by such appointment of private respondent No. 6, before the District Programme Officer, I.C.D.S., Madhubani. That not having been done, the grievance of the petitioner has not yet been adverted to.

3. The petitioner, under the aforesaid circumstances, is directed to make a complaint regarding her grievance before the District Programme Officer, I.C.D.S., Madhubani, if so advised, within a period of four weeks from today, who, on receipt of the same, shall, after affording hearing to the concerned parties, *i.e.*, petitioner, private respondent No. 6 and other stake holders, pass a reasoned order in accordance with law within a further period of eight weeks thereafter.

4. Should the petitioner remain aggrieved even thereafter, she would have an option of preferring an appeal against the order of District Programme Officer, I.C.D.S.,



Madhubani before the District Magistrate, Madhubani.

5. With the aforesaid observation/direction, the writ petition stands disposed off.

(Ashutosh Kumar, J)

Praveen-II/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N/A
Uploading Date	02.07.2019
Transmission Date	N/A

