

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.15653 of 2007

=====

Laldeo Singh, son of Late Deo Raj singh, resident of Village Manjurahi, P.S. Aurangabad(M), District Aurangabad

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The Additional Member Board of Revenue, Patna, Bihar
3. The Additional Collector, Aurangabad
4. The Land Reforms Deputy Collector, Aurangabad
5. Santosh Kumar Singh, son of Sri Baikunth Singh, resident of Village Manjurahi, P.S. Aurangabad(Muffasil), District Aurangabad
6. Sri Krishna Kumar Singh, son of Late Adhar Narain Singh, resident of Village Nagwan Garh, P.S. Guraru, District Gaya at present residing at Village Manjurahi, P.S. Aurangabad Muffasil, District Aurangabad

... .. Respondent/s

=====

with

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 4303 of 2008

=====

Laldeo Singh, son of Late Deo Raj singh, resident of Village Manjurahi, P.S. Aurangabad(M), District Aurangabad

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The Additional Member Board of Revenue, Patna, Bihar
3. The Additional Collector, Aurangabad
4. The Land Reforms Deputy Collector, Aurangabad
5. Baikunth Singh, son of Late Ram Baran Singh, resident of Village Manjurahi, P.S. Aurangabad(Muffasil), District Aurangabad
6. Sri Krishna Kumar Singh, son of Late Adhar Narain Singh, resident of Village Nagwan Garh, P.S. Guraru, District Gaya at present residing at Village Manjurahi, P.S. Aurangabad Muffasil, District Aurangabad

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Binod Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Prabhakar Jha, GP-27
For Respondent No. 5	:	Mr. Gajendra Nath Ojha, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHAKRADHARI SHARAN SINGH

ORAL ORDER

14 01-08-2019 Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner

cannot dispute the legal position that in the light of Bihar Land



Reforms (Fixation of Ceiling Area and Acquisition of Surplus Land) (Amendment) Act, 2019 these two writ applications have become infructuous since the petitioner has not been successful in proceedings before the courts below for establishing his claim of pre-emption.

The two writ applications are accordingly dismissed as infructuous.

(Chakradhari Sharan Singh, J)

Rajesh/-

U			
---	--	--	--

