

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA  
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.41926 of 2019**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-235 Year-2019 Thana- TURKAULIYA District-  
East Champaran

=====

MD. NEYAZ ALAM, aged about 25 years, male, Son of Md. Ishak Resident  
of Village - Sonwal Barkurwa, P.S.- Paharpur, Dist.- East Champaran.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Sajiya Khatoon, aged about 22 years, female, D/o Md. Abbas Resident of  
Village - Bewatiya, P.S.- Turkauliya, Dist.- East Champaran.

... .. Opposite Parties

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner : Mr. Umesh Chandra Verma, Advocate.  
For the Opposite Party: APP

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN**  
ORAL ORDER

2      09-07-2019                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned  
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest for the offences  
alleged under Sections 498A, 406, 384, 195, 194, 328, 120B of  
the Indian Penal Code and ¾ of the Dowry Prohibition Act  
registered in connection with Turkauliya P.S. Case No. 235 of  
2019.

3. It is submitted that the petitioner has been falsely  
implicated and the accusations are general and omnibus in  
nature. It is the opposite party no. 2 who had left the husband-  
petitioner started living at her parental home. The petitioner  
expresses his readiness to keep the opposite party no. 2 with due  
dignity and honour. The petitioner claims clean antecedents.

4. Be that as it may, in the event of the petitioner's  
arrest or surrender before the court below within six weeks from  
the date of communication of this order, let the above named



petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, East Champaran at Motihari in connection with Turkauliya P.S. Case No. 235 of 2019, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C., and also subject to the following further conditions -

(i) That one of the bailors shall be a close relative of the petitioner.

(ii) That the petitioner shall not indulge in any similar offence till conclusion of the trial.

(iii) That the petitioner shall cooperate with the investigation, if not already concluded, and make himself available as and when so required and in case of failure, the State shall be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(iv) That the petitioner shall remain physically present in Court on each and every date during trial and in the event of failure on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be liable to be cancelled by the learned Court concerned.

**(Vikash Jain, J)**

Ibrar//-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

