

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**

**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.12768 of 2019**

**In**

**Criminal Writ Jurisdiction Case No.2456 of 2018**

=====

Rinku Kumar @ Arjun Prasad @ Arjun Pandit @ Rinku Kumar Pandit, S/o  
Sawaliya Pandit @ Sarvan Pandit, R/o Mahamadpur, P.S.-Garkha, District-  
Saran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Govt. of Bihar, Patna
2. The Collector-cum-District Magistrate, Saran, Chapra
3. The Superintendent of Police, Saran, Chapra.
4. The Excise Superintendent, Saran, Chapra.
5. The Sub Divisional Police Officer, Chapra, Saran
6. The Station House Officer , Garkha, Saran

... .. Respondent/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Harish Kumar  
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Vikash Kumar (SC-11)

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR**

**and**

**HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN**

**ORAL ORDER**

**(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR)**

3      24-09-2019                      Sri Harish Kumar, learned counsel for the petitioner in  
  
presence of Sri Vikash Kumar, learned Standing Counsel No. 11  
  
submits that the petitioner filed the present writ petition with a  
  
prayer to direct the respondents to unseal his house, which was  
  
sealed in connection with Garkha P.S. Case No. 126 of 2017,  
  
registered for offence under Section 188 of the Indian Penal  
  
Code, 1860 and Section 30 of the Bihar Prohibition And Excise  
  
Act, 2016. However, he submits that only today he has received  
  
instruction that his house, as per direction of the authority  
  
concerned, has already been unsealed, and as such, the present  
  
writ petition has become infructuous.

In this case, earlier counter affidavit was filed on



behalf of the respondent no. 5 / S.D.P.O., Sonapur, Saran. Sri Vikash Kumar, learned Standing Counsel No.11 submits that he has got instruction that due to non preparation of seizure list showing sealing of the house, a departmental proceeding has also been initiated against the concerned Investigating Officer , and as per instruction, the house of the petitioner has been unsealed.

Considering the fact that relief sought for in the present writ petition has already been granted, there is no reason to further proceed in the matter.

The writ petition stands disposed of.

**(Rakesh Kumar, J)**

**( Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)**

praful/-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

