

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.13982 of 2010

=====

Anil Kumar son of Sri Ramdeo Mandal, resident of Village- Basaha, P.O.- Basaha, P.S.- Pipara, District- Supaul.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The Director, Appointment and Training Department (Training Room), Bihar, Patna- 1.
3. The Secretary, Bihar Staff Selection Commission, Bihar, P.O.- Vetanary College, Patna- 800014.
4. The Deputy Secretary-cum- Public Information Officer, Bihar Staff Selection Commission, Bihar, P.O. Vetanary College, Patna - 800014
5. Anant Vijay Raj, son of Aanant Sah, resident of Village Post- Itwa, P.S.- Dharhara, District- Munger, Pin Code- 811212, Roll No.- 1022.
6. Md. Navi Raja, son of Md. Alam Ansari, resident of Village Post- Santhi, District- Gopalganj, Pin Code- 841438, Roll No.- 01132.
7. Navnit Kumar, son of Rajkumar Prasad Sharma, Malti Niwas Saketpuri Road No. 03, Bahadurpur Housing Colony, Hanuman Nagar, Patna, Pin Code- 800026, Roll No.- 01114.
8. Anil Kumar, son of Ramdeo Prasad, resident of Village- Govindpur, Post- Sohra, P.S.- Sangrampur, District- Munger, Pin Code- 813221, Roll No.- 00035.
9. Anil Kumar Pal, son of Parash Nath Pal, C/o Sri Radha Muni Pal, resident of Mohalla- Jai Prakash Nagar, Patna- 1, Post- G.P.O., Patna- 800001, Roll No.- 00363.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	None
For the State	:	Mr. Rajiv Ray, G.P.1
		Mr. Suresh Kumar, AC to GP1
For Res. Nos. 3 & 4	:	Mr. Satyabir Bharti, Advocate
For Res. No.6	:	Mr. Ratneshwar Prasad, Advocate
		Mr. Mitu Kumar, Advocate
For Res. Nos. 7 & 9	:	Mr. Dinesh Pd. Verma, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHIVAJI PANDEY

ORAL ORDER

8 22-01-2019 Vide order dated 04.01.2019, this Court has granted

two weeks' time on account of operation in the eyes of Mr.

Uday Bhanu Rai, claiming that he will argue this case.



The case was again listed on 21.01.2019 but no one from the side of the petitioner was present, whereas the learned counsel for the respondents were present and this Court in specific term has recorded that if the petitioner would remain absent on the next appointed date, this Court will pass the order on the merit of the case. Today also, no one appears for the petitioner.

In the present case, an advertisement was published for selection/recruitment of Trade Demonstrator-cum-Electrician. The petitioner applied for the said post but he has not been selected on the ground that he did not have practical experience in the trade against which he has applied, whereas he has an experience of Senior Electrician (Plant Maintenance) which is not the trade against which he has applied for the post of Trade Demonstrator-cum-Electrician and on that account, the petitioner has not been appointed as Trade Demonstrator-cum-Electrician.

Since learned counsel for the petitioner is absent, there is no resistance from the side of the petitioner with regard to the decision taken by the State refusing to appoint him as Trade Demonstrator-cum-Electrician.

Accordingly, this writ petition is dismissed on the



aforesaid ground.

(Shivaji Pandey, J)

V.K.Pandey/
S. Katyayan

U			
---	--	--	--

