

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No 8048 of 2008

-
- 1 Sudama Singh, Son of Bigu Singh, Resident of Village – Machwar, PS – Sheo Sagar, District – Rohtas
 - 2 Abdul Rashid Khan, son of late Abdul quayum Khan, Resident of Mohalla – Baro (Sherganj) PS – Sasaram, District – Rohtas
 - 3 Ram Sakal Sikngh, son of Jagbali Singh, Resident of Village – Akashi, PS – Agrare, District – Rohtas
 - 4 Keshav Prasad, son of late Inder Deo Singh, Resident of Village – Uchit Pure, PS – Muradabad, District – Rohtas
 - 5 Sri Nirendra Pal, son of Munsii Pal, Resident of Village – Raghunathpur, PS – Brahampur, District - Bhojpur
 - 6 Shayam Sunder Choudhary, son of Dharm Chandra Choudhary, Resident of Village – Jakki Shaheed, PS – Sasaram, District – Rohtas
 - 7 Shyam Narayan Prasad, son of Sheo Pujan Prasad, Resident of Village – Bambhour, PS – Sheo Sagar, District – Rohtas
 - 8 Shyam Nand Pathak, son of late Sudama Pathak, Resident of Village – Basdiha, PS – Karahager, District - Rohtas
 - 9 Parma Prasad, son of Jiwit Prasad, Resident of Village – Pahlega, PS – Dehri on Son, District – Rohtas
 - 10 Uma Shankar Ram, son of late Ramvilash Ram, Resident of Village – Pahlega, PS – Dehri on Son, District – Rohtas
 - 11 Parash Nath Choudhary, son of Ram Bachan Choudhary, Resident of Mohalla – Mahavir Asthan, PS – Sasaram
 - 12 Ramesh Kumar Singh, son of Satya Narayan Singh, Resident of Mohalla – Kuraich, PS – Sasaram, District - Rohtas

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

- 1 The State of Bihar
- 2 The Collector, Rohtas, Sasaram
- 3 The Additional Collector, In charge, Nazarat, Rohtas
- 4 The Deputy Collector, In Charge, Nazarat, Rohtas
- 5 The Sub-Divisional Officer, Sasaram
- 6 The deputy Collector, Sub-Division, Nazarat, Sasaram

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : N O N E
For the Respondent/s : Mr Niraj Kumar, AC to GA X

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE MADHURESH PRASAD

ORAL ORDER

7 **29-07-2019** The order sheet reveals adjournment on two dates at the instance of the petitioner. On other three dates, there is non-



appearance of the petitioner's counsel. On 16.02.2017, when the petitioner did not appear, application was dismissed for non-prosecution. The matter, thereafter, has been restored on 24.04.2019 vide order passed in MJC No 2090 of 2017.

Today again, when the matter is taken up, none appears for the petitioner.

Writ petition is dismissed for want of prosecution.

(Madhuresh Prasad, J)

M.E.H./-

U			
---	--	--	--

