

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.12388 of 2019

=====

Sita Devi, wife of Shobh Naryan Prasad, resident of Simaria, P.O. and P.S. Barhara, District- Bhojpur at Ara.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the District Magistrate, Bhojpur at Ara.
2. The District Programme Officer, Sadar Ara.
3. The C.D.P.O., Barhara, P.O. and P.S.- Barhara, District- Bhojpur at Ara.
4. Mukhiya, Gram Panchayat Raj, Simaria, Pararia, P.O. and P.S.- Barhara, District- Bhojpur at Ara.
5. Smt. Mangita Devi, wife of Raju Kumar Thakur, resident of Village Simaria Pararia, P.O. and P.S. Barhara, District- Bhojpur at Ara.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Bipin Bihari Singh
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Prashant Pratap (GP-2)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHUTOSH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 25-06-2019 The petitioner is aggrieved by the appointment of private respondent No. 5 on the post of *Anganbari Sevika* in *Anganbari* Centre No. 257 under Simaria Pararia *Gram Panchayat* in the District of Bhojpur at Arrah as also the pendency of complaint of the petitioner against such appointment before the District Programme Officer, Bhojpur at Arrah.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that despite the petitioner having obtained



higher marks in the same category than the private respondent No. 5, she has wrongly been selected as *Anganbari Sevika*. A regular complaint and a reminder were made by the petitioner before the District Programme Officer, Arrah on 11.02.2019 and 28.02.2019 respectively but no order has been passed by the aforesaid authority.

Under the aforesaid circumstances, the petitioner is directed to file a fresh complaint before the District Programme Officer, Bhojpur at Arrah (respondent No. 2) giving the details of the ground on which the challenge to the appointment of the private respondent No. 5 is rested, within a period of four weeks from today. The concerned respondent, on receipt of such complaint, shall provide hearing to the parties viz. the petitioner, private respondent No. 5 and other stake holders and pass a reasoned order in accordance with law within a further period of six weeks thereafter. Should the petitioner remain aggrieved by the order of



the District Programme Officer, Bhojpur at Arrah
(respondent No. 2), she would have the option to
challenge such order before the District Magistrate,
Bhojpur at Arrah.

With the aforesaid observation/direction, the
writ petition stands disposed of.

(Ashutosh Kumar, J)

Shageer/-

U			
---	--	--	--

