

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.16328 of 2019

Vijeta Kumari, female, aged about 28 years, Wife of Raj Kumar, R/o Village-Bahuaara, P.O. Bidupur, P.S. Raja Pakar, District-Vaishali at Hajipur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Social Welfare Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director, Integrated Child Development Services, Bihar, Patna.
4. The District Magistrate, Vaishali at Hajipur, District-Vaishali at Hajipur.
5. The District Programme Officer (ICDS), District-Vaishali at Hajipur.
6. The Child Development Project Officer, Rural Hajipur, District-Vaishali at Hajipur.
7. The Ward Member-cum-Adyaksh, Ward No. 8, Gram Panchayat Raj Bahuaara, Block-Rural Hajipur, District-Vaishali at Hajipur.
8. The Punch-cum-Up Adhyaksh, Ward No. 8, Gram Panchayat Raj Bahuaara, Block-Rural Hajipur, District-Vaishali at Hajipur.
9. The Lady Supervisor-cum-Secretary Ward No. 8, Gram Panchayat Raj Bahuaara, Block-Rural Hajipur, District-Vaishali at Hajipur.
10. Santoshi Kumari, female, Wife of Santosh Kumar, R/o Village-Bahuaara, P.O.-Bidupur, P.S. Raja Pakar, District-Vaishali at Hajipur.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Arjun Prasad, Adv.

For the Respondent/s : Ms. Neelam Kumari, AC to SC-3

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHUTOSH KUMAR

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 22-08-2019



It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that private respondent No. 10 though has been selected to be engaged as Aanganbari Sevika at the concerned Centre, but no appointment has been given to her because the salary slip of her husband is not being provided. It has been contended that private respondent No. 10 ought to be disqualified for being engaged as Aanganbari Sevika because of her husband being employed with a salary of more than Rs. 12,000/-. The fact that the husband of private respondent No. 10 has not been providing the salary-slip is an indicator of the fact that she is not entitled to be engaged/appointed as the Aanganbari Sevika at the concerned Centre. It has further been submitted that in the event of the candidature of private respondent No. 10 being rejected, the petitioner would be the first beneficiary.

2. In any view of the matter, this Court would not like to pass any order before the complaint is taken to the first authority under the scheme, viz., the C.D.P.O. of the concerned Block.

3. Should the petitioner make necessary



complaint before the concerned C.D.P.O. regarding defective selection of private respondent No. 10 on the post of Aanganbari Sevika at the concerned Centre, within a period of two weeks from today, the concerned respondent, after hearing the parties, especially the petitioner and private respondent No. 10, and verifying all the facts, shall pass a reasoned order in accordance with law within a period of thirty days which is the time-line prescribed under the new Guidelines of 2019.

4. With the aforesaid observation/direction, the writ petition stands disposed off.

(Ashutosh Kumar, J)

Praveen-II/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N/A
Uploading Date	23.08.2019
Transmission Date	N/A

