

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.39702 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-120 Year-2018 Thana- PALIGANJ District- Patna

=====

AJEET CHAUDHARY, Son of Munni Chandar Chaudhary @ Munni Chan
Chaudhary Resident of Village- Sikandarpur, P.S.- Paliganj, District- Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. THE STATE OF BIHAR
2. Sarswati Kumari, Wife of Ajeet Chaudhary and daughter of Surendra
Chaudhary Resident of Village- Gyaspur, P.S.- Maner, District- Patna.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Rudra Deo
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Sanjay Tripathy

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJENDRA KUMAR
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

4 16-10-2019 This record has been listed under the heading “For
Orders” at the instance of the mention slip filed by the learned
counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner.

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner
submits that while on 02.07.2019, the petitioner was allowed
provisional bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten
Thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the
satisfaction of the learned S.D.J.M, Danapur, Patna in
connection with Paliganj P.S. Case No. 120 of 2018, subject to
the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. and
also subject to the certain terms and conditions, but due to
some unavoidable circumstances, the petitioner could not



furnish bail bonds in the Court below and the same fact has been pointed out on 01.10.2019 at the time of disposal of the present application.

In view of the aforesaid submission, let the last paragraph of the order dated 01.10.2019 passed by this Court be read as **“Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, let the above named petitioner Ajeet Chaudhary, be released on bail, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of four weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned S.D.J.M, Danapur, Patna in connection with Paliganj P.S. Case No. 120 of 2018, subject to the condition as laid down under Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C”** in place of “Since the petitioner and the Opposite Party No.2 have settled their dispute outside the Court, in the terms, as stated above, and the opposite party No.2 has no objection in confirming the provisional bail, as granted to the petitioner earlier, the provisional anticipatory bail, as granted to the petitioner vide order dated 02.07.2019 passed by a Bench of this Court, is, hereby, confirmed.”

In the aforesaid manner, the order dated 01.10.2019



passed by this Court stands modified accordingly.

(Rajendra Kumar Mishra, J)

manish/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

