

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.43148 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-318 Year-1986 Thana- BIHTA District- Patna

=====

Baiju Rai @ Vaijnath Ray @ Vaiju Bhagat, S/o Late Ram Sunder Rai @ Ram
Sunder Bhagat, R/o village- Daulapur, Bihta, P.S.- Bihta, District- Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ravi Kant Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Ahmad Ali, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. ANJANA MISHRA
ORAL ORDER

3 16-09-2019 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the

learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State.

In the present case, the petitioner seeks to renew his prayer for bail on account of the fact that he was taken into custody for not appearing in the court and abusing the privilege of bail granted to him earlier. It is submitted that the he was declared absconder on 17.03.2016 and vide order dated 22.04.2016 warrant of arrest was issued against him and lastly, he was arrested on 16.04.2019. It is further submitted that such mistake was occasioned for want of *Pairvi* being done and that the petitioner undertakes that such mistake shall not be repeated and he shall remain present till the conclusion of the trial.

Considering the aforementioned submissions and



that the petitioner has earlier been granted bail, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on his furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Additional Sessions Judge-IV at Danapur, District-Patna, in connection with Sessions Trial (S.J.) No.1156/1998, arising out of Bihta P.S. Case No.318 of 1986, subject to the following conditions:

(1) One of the bailors will be his own blood relative, preferably, mother, son, brother, sister and/or his wife.

(2) The petitioner shall not indulge himself in any similar offence till conclusion of the trial.

(3) The petitioner shall remain physically present in court on each and every date during trial and in the event of his failure on two consecutive dates without sufficient reasons, his bail bonds shall be liable to be cancelled by the learned court concerned.

(4) The petitioner shall co-operate with the investigation, if not already concluded, and make himself available as and when so required and in case of failure, the State shall be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(Anjana Mishra, J)

PNM

U		T	
---	--	---	--

