

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.37249 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-11 Year-2019 Thana- C.B.I CASE District- Muzaffarpur

ZAFAR IMAM KHAN Son of Late Hasan Imam Khan Resident of Village
Ahilwara, P.S.- Biraul, District- Darbhanga.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. THE STATE OF BIHAR
2. The Vigilance Investigation Bureau, Patna. Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Pravin Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Rajendra Nath Jha

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRIYA

ORAL ORDER

3 25-07-2019 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the
learned APP for the State.

The petitioner seeks bail in Vigilance P. S. Case No.
11 of 2019 instituted for the offence under Section(s) 7(a) of the
Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988, (Amended Act, 2018).

As per allegation made in the complaint petition filed
by complainant before the Vigilance Investigation Bureau is that
the petitioner was I.O. of Baruraj P.S. case no. 1 of 2018 and
Baruraj P.S. case no. 6 of 2019. The petitioner demanded Rs.
10,000/- as bribe to help in both the cases. The Vigilance
Bureau registered Vigilance P.S. case no.11 of 2019 under
section 7(a) of P. C. Act , 1988 (Amended 2018) and during
course of investigation S.I. of vigilance police verified the
entire episode. Trap team was constituted. Pre trap memo was



prepared. Trap team proceeded to the place of occurrence. The petitioner was caught red handed accepting Rs. 10,000/- as bribe. Post trap memo was prepared. Tainted money of Rs. 10,000/- was tallied with the pre trap memo. Seizure list was prepared in the presence of independent witnesses.

The case diary has been received. The witnesses, during course of investigation in various paragraphs in the case diary have supported the allegation levelled against the petitioner. The petitioner was caught red handed while accepting Rs. 10,000/- as bribe from the complainant.

The specific allegation against the petitioner of accepting bribe of Rs. 10,000/- got support from pre trap and post tap memo. Therefore, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. The prayer for bail of the petitioner stands rejected at this stage.

Trial Court is directed to expedite the trial.

(Sanjay Priya, J)

shyambihari/-

U			
---	--	--	--

