

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.40230 of 2019**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-100 Year-2019 Thana- MUZAFFARPUR SADAR District-
Muzaffarpur

=====

Md. Arman, Son of Md. Hamid, Resident of Village - Hajipur Usti, P.S.-
Mahua, District- Vaishali

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Anil Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Shailendra Kumar, APP

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER**

2 01-07-2019 This is an application for grant of anticipatory bail in
connection with Muzaffarpur Sadar P.S. Case No. 100 of 2019,
disclosing offences under Sections 395 & 397 of IPC.

F.I.R. disclosed an allegation that in the present case
on the point of pistols petitioner along with other accused
persons entered inside the office of Muthoot Finance Ltd. and
assaulted the informant and other and taken away Rs.2,00,000/-
and fled away by motorcycles. Petitioner is not named in the
F.I.R. He has criminal antecedent also.

Submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner is
that except confessional statement there is nothing against the
petitioner and he has not put on Test Identification Parade.

Heard learned A.P.P. also, who has opposed the prayer
for bail on the ground that as the petitioner has not been



arrested, so it is not possible to put him on Test Identification Parade. Further investigation is going on and the petitioner is named in the confessional statements of other accused persons.

Having heard both sides, in view of the facts and circumstances, as stated above, I am not inclined to grant privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, however, the petitioner is directed to surrender before the learned court below and make prayer for regular bail on the basis of materials available on record.

With the aforesaid, this application is dismissed.

(Vinod Kumar Sinha, J)

Sunil Shukla/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

