

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.36469 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-126 Year-2019 Thana- NARPATGANJ District- Araria

Laliya Devi (Female), aged about 55 years, Wife of Jagdish Yadav, Resident of Village - Farhi, Ward No. 3, P.S.- Narpatganj, District- Araria

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr.Mukesh Kumar Rana, Advocate
For the Opposite Party : APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN
ORAL ORDER

2 12-06-2019 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner is in custody since 02.03.2019 in connection with Narpatganj P.S. Case No. 126 of 2019 for the offences alleged under Sections 302/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. It is submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated and specific accusation of assault with axe on the head of the informant's wife is upon co-accused Ruban Yadav. The petitioner, who is a lady, has not been attributed to any overt act whatsoever. The petitioner claims clean antecedents.

4. Be that as it may, let the petitioner above named be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/-(ten thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Araria, in connection with Narpatganj P.S. Case No. 126 of 2019, on the following conditions:-

(i) That one of the bailors shall be a close relative of the petitioner.

(ii) That the petitioner shall not indulge in any similar offence till conclusion of the trial.



(iii) That the petitioner will be well represented in court on each and every date during trial except as and when directed by the learned court to be physically present and in the event of failure on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, her bail bond shall be liable to be cancelled by the learned Court concerned.

(iv) That the petitioner shall cooperate with the investigation, if not already concluded, and make herself available as and when so required and in case of failure, the State shall be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(Vikash Jain, J)

BT/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

