

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.37342 of 2019**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-183 Year-2019 Thana- DIGHA District- Patna

Manju Devi @ Manju Kumari, W/O Veermani Pandey, Resident of Mohalla-Durga Ashram Gali, Dukhan Singh Gali, Sheikhpura, P.S.- Shastri Nagar, District- Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

... .. Opposite Party/s

with

**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 37406 of 2019**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-183 Year-2019 Thana- DIGHA District- Patna

Rinku Pandey@Sarita Kumari, Wife of Mahendravar Pandey, D/o Veermani Pandey, Resident of Mohalla - Ashram Gali, Sheikhpura, P.S.- Shastri Nagar, Distt - Patna, at present residing at B - 267, PKT, P-3, Greater Noida (D - 22A), P.S.- Kasna, Distt - Gautam Budh Nagar, U.P. (Uttar Pradesh), Pin Code - 201308

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

(In both the cases)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Syed. Rizwanul Haque, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ashok Kumar Singh, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR SINHA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

5      20-09-2019              This is an application for grant of anticipatory bail in connection with Digha P. S. Case No. 183 of 2019, disclosing offences under Sections 302, 201, 328, 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

In Criminal Misc. No. 37342 of 2019 filed by mother-in-law of the deceased and in Criminal Misc. No. 37406 of 2019



filed by the married sister-in-law (Nanad) of the deceased and there is allegation against them along with other accused persons that they have caused death of the deceased and thrown the dead body in Ganga River.

Submission of the learned counsel for the petitioners in both the cases is that no specific allegation has been attributed against them and petitioner mother-in-law is aged about 65 years and petitioner sister-in-law (married Nanad) is residing at separate place at Noida and they have falsely been implicated in this case, without any specific allegation against them.

Heard learned A.P.P. as well as learned counsel for the opposite party no.2 also, they have opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail on the ground that even no information was given to the informant with respect to death of the deceased.

Having heard both sides, in view of the facts, as stated above and also considering the age of the mother-in-law as well as the fact that petitioner married *Nanad* is residing in some other place, let the petitioners, above named, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the court below within six weeks, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) each with two



sureties of the like amount to the satisfaction of learned S.D.J.M., Patna, in connection with Digha P. S. Case No. 183 of 2019, subject to the condition laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure with condition that they have to co-operate in the investigation.

**(Vinod Kumar Sinha, J)**

Sunil Shukla/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

