

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.35366 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-111 Year-2018 Thana- CHENARI District- Rohtas

SUNIL YADAV @ FEKAN YADAV Son of Rajendra Yadav Resident of
Village-Kusuri, P.S-Darigaon, District-Rohtas.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Jai Prakash Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Anita Kumari Singh

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN
ORAL ORDER

4 07-08-2019 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in a case registered for the offence punishable under Section 366(A), 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

On the basis of written report of informant Anil Kumar Yadav, the prosecution case in brief is that on 02.02.2018 Sunil Yadav alias Fekan Yadav has kidnapped the informant's minor sister namely Chaniya Kumari, aged about 16 years with intent to perform marriage with her and this fact came to the knowledge of the informant during course of searching. It is further alleged that before the occurrence Sunil Yadav always used to visit the informant's village due to relation with Arun Yadav. Thereafter, informant asked the brother of Sunil Yadav



namely Tatu Yadav to return his sister Chaniya Kumari but they were taken away his sister and refused to return her. The informant has claimed the accused persons named in the F.I.R. had kidnapped his sister with intention to perform marriage with her.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that he is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. He submits that the informant and his family members wanted the petitioner to marry to the informant sister, when denied they started making pressure therefore, the mother of the petitioner filed a complaint case bearing no. 805 of 2018 against the informant and his family.

From perusal of record and case diary, it is obvious that the petitioner is the main person in kidnapping the minor sister of the informant namely Chaniya Kumari. The statement of the victim girl under Section 164 of the Cr.P.C. has been recorded in which it is alleged that petitioner is involved in the said offence.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail. Accordingly, his payer for anticipatory bail is rejected in connection with Chenari P.S. Case No. 111 of 2018 from the



court of the learned A.C.J.M.-II Sasaram, Rohtas.

Accordingly, the application is dismissed.

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)

devendra/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

