

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.3532 of 2018

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.14609 of 2010

=====

Bijay Kumar Sinha S/o Late Singheshwar Prasad Resident of Gulzar Phokhar, Munger, at present residing at Flat No. 203, Gauri Shankar Complex, Behind Dominos Doctors Colony, Kankarbagh, District Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar Through Amrit Lal Meena The Principal Secretary, Road Construction Deptt and Ors having his office at Vishwesaraiya Bhawan, Police Station Shastri Nagar, District Patna.
2. Smt. Sujata Chaturvedi the Principal Secretary Finance Department, Govt. of Bihar having his office at Vishwesaraiya Bhawan, Police Station Shastri Nagar, District Patna.
3. Sri. Laxmi Narayan Das the Engineer -in- Chief Road Construction Department having his office at Vishwesaraiya Bhawan, Police Station Shastri Nagar, District- Patna.
4. Smt. Mani Kiran the Accountant General Bihar, Patna, having his office at Birchand Patel Path, P.S. Kotwali, District- Patna.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Vikas Mohan
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Raj Ballabh Prasad Yadav

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

5 11-04-2019 A supplementary show cause has been filed on behalf of the opposite party no. 2 and it has been stated that the order of this Court has been complied with, inasmuch as the petitioner has been granted the benefits 1st and 2nd A.C.P. w.e.f. 09.08.1999 and the pay fixation of the petitioner has already been done on 02.01.2019 and approx. Rs. 2.11 lacs has already been paid to the petitioner herein. It has been further stated that as far as the revision of pension and gratuity is concerned,



necessary direction would be issued to the Accountant General and the same would be done within a period of four weeks from today.

Having regard to the prompt action taken by the learned Principal Secretary, Road Construction Department, his personal appearance is dispensed with.

Accordingly, the present contempt petition stands dismissed, however with liberty to the petitioner to file an appropriate interlocutory application for revival of the present contempt petition, in case the remaining grievances of the petitioner, as aforesaid, are not redressed by the contemnors.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

rinkee/-

U			
---	--	--	--

