

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.1250 of 2018

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.9044 of 2014

=====

Md. Nazir Alam, son of Late Md. Basiruddin, Resident of village Mallikpur,
Police Station Azamnagar, District Katihar

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar, through Mr. Anjani Kumar Singh, Chief Secretary, Old Secretariat, Bihar, Patna.
2. Mr. S.K. Gupta, the Director Industry, Khadi Gram Village Industries Commission, Bihar, Patna
3. Mr. R.B. Ram, the Assistant Director, Industry, Khadi Gram Village Industries Commission, Bihar, Patna
4. Mr. Mithilesh Mishra, the District Magistrate, Katihar
5. Mr. Mukesh Kumar Pandey, the District Development Commissioner, Katihar.
6. Mr. Anis, the General Manager, District Industry Centre, Katihar.
7. Mr. P.K. Bajaj, the General Manager, Central Bank of India, Mumbai.
8. Mr. Rajeev Kumar, the Zonal Manager, Central Bank of India, Patna.
9. Mr. Vikash Kharey, the Regional Manager, Central Bank of India, Purnea.
10. Mr. Manjit Sinha, the Branch Manager, Central Bank of India, Salmari, P.S. Azamnagar, District Katihar

... .. Opposite Parties

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : None

For Opposite Party-Bank: Mr. Ajay Kumar Sinha, Advocate

For the State : Mr. Indeshwari Pd. Mandal, AC to GA 3

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 02-01-2019

As none appeared on behalf of the petitioner the matter was passed over for a few cases. However, on repeat call the petitioner was again not represented.

2. The present petition has been filed for initiating a contempt proceeding against the opposite parties for willful disobedience of the judgment of this Court dated 09.02.2016



passed in CWJC No. 9044 of 2014 (*Md. Nazir Alam Vs. The State of Bihar & Ors.*).

3. Learned counsel for the opposite parties submits that the petitioner himself did not comply with the terms of the judgment of this Court dated 09.02.2016 passed in CWJC No. 9044 of 2014 and did not file representation within the stipulated time of two weeks from the date of the judgment rather a copy of the representation dated 02.11.2017 has been enclosed which has been filed after inordinate delay.

4. In the circumstances, this Court is not inclined to interfere in the matter. The contempt petition stands dismissed.

BT/Chandran

(Vikash Jain, J)

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N.A.
Uploading Date	05.01.2019
Transmission Date	N.A.

