

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.11620 of 2019

=====

Dev Sahay Roy Son of late Raghunath Ray, Resident of Vill- Ram Nagar, P.S. Bihta, District- Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Revenue and Land Reforms Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Health Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna
3. The Principal Secretary, Building Construction Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
4. The District Magistrate Cum Collector, Patna.
5. The District Magistrate-Cum-Land Acquisition Officer, Patna
6. The Civil Surgeon Cum Chief Medical Officer, Patna.
7. The Sub-Divisional Magistrate, Patna Sadar, Patna.
8. The Circle Officer, Bihta, Block- Bihta, Distt. Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Sandeep Kumar Mr.Dhananjay Kumar Upadhyay
For the State	:	Mr.Subhash Chandra Yadav (GP-15) Ms. Sangha Mitra Ghosh, AC to GP 15

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHAKRADHARI SHARAN SINGH

ORAL ORDER

2 01-07-2019 Heard the learned counsel for the parties.

The petitioner's land was acquired in the year 2007, as asserted in the writ application. He has a grievance that till date the amount of compensation has not been paid. When the land was acquired, the petitioner's father was alive.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that similar persons, whose lands were acquired in the same land acquisition proceeding, have been paid the amount of



compensation in 2013. My attention has been drawn to a representation filed by the petitioner before the District Land Acquisition Officer, Patna, in December, 2018.

In the nature of averments made in the writ application, and in view of submission advanced on behalf of the petitioner, this application is disposed of with an observation that let the District Land Acquisition Officer, Patna take a decision on the petitioner's application, claiming payment of compensation amount in respect of the land, in question, description of which has been given in paragraph 5 of his representation. Such decision must be taken within a period of three months from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order, which should be accompanied with the representation, which the petitioner has filed.

(Chakradhari Sharan Singh, J)

HR/-

U			
---	--	--	--

