

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No. 3365 of 2018

Along with

Interlocutory Application No. 1 of 2019

Arising out of

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 23927 of 2013

Anjani Kumar Singh, Son of Late Arjun Prasad, Resident of Village- Simari,
Gram Panchayat- Champanagar, P.S- Bakhtiyarpur, District- Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Mr. Pankaj Kumar the Joint Secretary, Food and Consumer Protection Department, Patna.
2. Mr. Kumar Ravi, the Collector, Patna.
3. Mr. Sajjan Aar the Sub- Divisional Officer, Barh, Patna.
4. Mr. Arvind Kumar Dubey, the Block Supply Officer, Bakhtiyarpur, Patna.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ajay Kumar Tiwari, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Alok Ranjan, AC to AAG 5

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AHSANUDDIN
AMANULLAH**

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 22-08-2019

Re.: Interlocutory Application No. 1 of 2019

The present Interlocutory Application has been filed on behalf of the petitioner, who is also personally present in Court, through Mr. Ajay Kumar Tiwari, learned counsel under a fresh Vakalatnama executed by him.

2. The contention in the Interlocutory Application is that Mr. Bhola Kumar (AOR No. 01506), learned counsel through whom the application was filed has not pursued the matter in the interest of the petitioner and accordingly, when he was asked to



give a No Objection Certificate, he had refused to do so. It has also been stated that the petitioner had been forced to file an application before the Registrar General of this Court seeking permission to appear through another counsel by executing a fresh Vakalatnama without taking No Objection from the earlier counsel.

3. The prayer made in the application is to cancel the Vakalatnama executed by the petitioner in favour of Mr. Bhola Kumar, learned counsel on 27.08.2018 and further to accept the Vakalatnama executed in favour of Mr. Ajay Kumar Tiwari, learned counsel.

4. Having regard to the aforesaid, the prayer made in the Interlocutory Application is allowed. The power executed by the petitioner in favour of Mr. Ajay Kumar Tiwari, learned counsel is accepted and the earlier Vakalatnama executed by the petitioner in favour of Mr. Bhola Kumar, learned counsel stands cancelled.

5. The Court would only note that besides Mr. Bhola Kumar, Mr. Navin Kumar Sinha (AOR No. 05127), learned counsel who has also accepted power and had signed on the Vakalatnama, which was executed by the petitioner in favour of Mr. Bhola Kumar, in the same instrument, the deemed power



executed in favour of Mr. Navin Kumar Sinha, learned counsel also stands cancelled.

6. Interlocutory Application No. 1 of 2019, stands allowed in the aforementioned terms.

Re.: Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No. 3365 of 2018

7. Both learned counsel for the petitioner and the petitioner himself submitted that the application be permitted to be withdrawn.

8. In view thereof, as prayed for, the present contempt application stands disposed off as withdrawn.

9. Learned AC to AAG 5 for the State is present.

(Ahsanuddin Amanullah, J.)

P. Kumar

AFR/NAFR	
U	
T	

