

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.2592 of 2018

In
Criminal Writ Jurisdiction Case No.707 of 2018

=====

Santosh Kumar Gupta, S/o Tarkeshwar Prasad, R/o Vill.- Pawna, P.S.-
Agiawan, District- Bhojpur Ara.

... .. Petitioner.

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar Through Mr. Tripurari Sharan, The Principal Secretary,
Department Of Forest and Environment, Bihar, Patna.
2. Mr. S. Kumar Sami, the District Forest Officer Cum Divisional Forest
Officer, Rohtas at Sasaram.
3. Mr. Lalan Mochi, the Forest Officer, Tilauthu, Forest Department , Rohtas.
4. Mr. Kunjan Prasad, the Officer Incharge, Dehri Nagar Thana, Dehri,
Rohtas.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Babu Nandan Prasad, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Sarvesh Kumar Singh, AAG 13..
Mr. Shakib Ayaz, AC to AAG 13.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

3 19-04-2019 In the given facts and circumstances of the case,

where it is the categorical statement of the Opposite Parties that

the petitioner has not produced the document of ownership and

registration of the vehicle in his name, the contempt application

cannot proceed. It is dropped.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in fact

the petitioner had purchased the vehicle in auction from a

Finance Company, namely Sriram Finance and presently

because it has been purchased under hire-purchase, the name of



the Finance Company would be there on the claim showing the ownership of the vehicle.

Be that as it may, the petitioner will have to apply for an adequate remedy in order to get rid of his difficulties.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

R.R.Ojha/-

U			
---	--	--	--

