

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CIVIL REVIEW No.226 of 2018

In
Letters Patent Appeal No.1421 of 2017

-
1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna.
 2. The Principal Secretary, Department of Education, Government of Bihar, Patna.
 3. The Principal Secretary, Department of Personnel and Administrative Reforms, Government of India, Patna.
 4. The Director, Mass Education, Bihar, Patna.
 5. The District Programme Officer (Literacy), Madhepura-Cum-District Adult Education Officer, Madhepura.
 6. The District Magistrate, Madhepura.
 7. The Secretary, Central Adult Education Council, Madhepura, District-Madhepura.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

Meera Kumari, Wife of Dilip Kumar, Resident of Village-Gadhiakumarkhand, P.O. and P.S. Kumarkhand, District- Madhepura.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Priyadarshi Matri Sharan, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Arun Kumar, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD

ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

9 06-11-2019 The defects have not been removed for which time had been granted earlier. Learned counsel for the applicant State of Bihar seeks further adjournment for the same.

We are not inclined to grant any adjournment, inasmuch as, the removal of defects also will not yield any fruitful results, inasmuch as, the issue raised in this Review



Application now has become infructuous keeping in view the judgment delivered by us on 22nd August, 2019 in M.J.C. No. 54 of 2013 [Shiv Pujan Sahay & Ors. Vs. The State of Bihar & Ors.] and analogous matters. Accordingly, no review of the impugned judgment is required as the final disposal and adjudication in the aforesaid case will now ultimately govern the rights of the parties.

The Review Application is accordingly consigned to records.

(Amreshwar Pratap Sahi, CJ)

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

P.K.P./-

U			
---	--	--	--

