

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.14014 of 2018

1. Kanchan Mala wife of Sri Hemant Kumar, Resident of Village - Pokhraise, P.O. Gidha, P.S. Saraiya, District - Muzaffarpur, presently Pramukh of Block Panchayat Samiti, Saraiya, District Muzaffarpur.
2. Suman Devi wife of Raj Kumar Rai, Resident of Village - Paigambarpur, P.S. Saraiya, District - Muzaffarpur, presently Up - Pramukh of Block Panchayat Samiti, Saraiya, District Muzaffarpur.

... .. Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Panchayati Raj Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director, Panchayati Raj Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The District Magistrate, Muzaffarpur, District - Muzaffarpur.
5. The Sub-Divisional Officer, Muzaffarpur West, District - Muzaffarpur.
6. The Block Development Officer, Saraiya-cum-Executive Officer, Block Panchayat Samiti, Saraiya, Dist
7. Urmila Devi wife of not known to the petitioners
8. Vipin Mishra son of not known to the petitioners
9. Maheshwar Paswan son of not known to the petitioners
10. Jaheda Khatoon wife of not known to the petitioners
11. Balkeshi Devi wife of not known to the petitioners
12. Mayantri Devi wife of not known to the petitioners
13. Madhu Devi wife of not known to the petitioners
14. Savita Devi wife of not known to the petitioners
15. Rinku Devi wife of not known to the petitioners
16. Md. Aslam son of not known to the petitioners
17. Prabha Chaudhary wife of not known to the petitioners
18. Arjun Kumar Gupta son of not known to the petitioners
19. Akhilesh Kumar son of not known to the petitioners
20. Sunil Kumar son of not known to the petitioners
21. Jahan Ara wife of not known to the petitioners
22. Sabita Kumari @ Savitri Kumari wife of not known to the petitioners
23. Janardan Prasad Thakur son of not known to the petitioners
24. Rajan Kumar son of not known to the petitioners
25. Mukesh Kumar son of not known to the petitioners
26. Geeta Devi wife of not known to the petitioners
27. Sunil Kumar Dwivedi son of not known to the petitioners
28. Renu Devi wife of not known to the petitioners
29. Kaijar Khatoon wife of not known to the petitioners
30. Munni Devi wife of not known to the petitioners
31. Ganesh Kumar son of not known to the petitioners
32. Pramila Devi wife of not known to the petitioners
33. Anuradha Devi wife of not known to the petitioners
34. Umesh Sah son of not known to the petitioners
35. Jaga Paswan son of not known to the petitioners
36. Sabita Devi wife of not known to the petitioners
37. Jitendra Kumar son of not known to the petitioners
38. Suchita Devi wife of not known to the petitioners



39. Lalit Bhagat son of not known to the petitioners
40. Khemchandra Prasad son of not known to the petitioners
41. Chitra Rekha Devi wife of not known to the petitioners
42. Umesh Mahto son of not known to the petitioners
43. Anil Bhagat son of not known to the petitioners
44. Tarkeshwar Kumar Chaudhary son of not known to the petitioners
45. Ramesh Singh son of not known to the petitioners Respondent Nos. 7 to 45 are the members of Block Panchayat Samiti, Saraiya through the Block Development Officer-cum-Executive Officer, Block Panchayat Samiti, Saraiya, P.O. and P.S. Saraiya, District Muzaffarpur.

... .. Respondents

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.S.B.K. Mangalam, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr.Ajay- GA5
For the Res. No. 24	:	Mr.Santosh Kumar, Advocate
For the Res. Nos. 7 to 21,;	:	Mr. Suraj Nr. Yadav, Advocate
27, 25, 26, 30, 32, 36, 37,	:	Mr. Upendra Kumar Chaubey, Advocate
38, 41, 44, 45	:	

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

5 28-01-2019 Mr. S.B.K. Mangalam, learned counsel representing the petitioners accepts the correctness of the preliminary objection raised by Mr. Ajay, learned counsel representing the State.

Learned counsel for the private respondents are also present.

In view of the subsequent developments which has not been brought on record and is not challenged, this writ application has become infructuous and can not proceed. The writ application is disposed off accordingly.

It goes without saying that the subsequent developments which are not under challeng in the present writ



application, may be challenged by petitioner, if so advised in an appropriate proceeding.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

avin/-ved-

U			
---	--	--	--

